give up easily, continued to press for the adoption T of the word "Kingdom" right up until the final draft eldi ed of the British North America Act. The dearlier drafts and and a of January and February, 1867, produced at the Londons busies! Conference, do indeed bear witness to his tenacity on this point. There is I understand a draft of the British North America Act in the handwriting of racioo and mort Sir John A. Macdonald which, from internal evidence, 1 dug erew appears to be earlier than any of the drafts actually a emosed considered at the conference of In this draft, in what I me aga believe is his handwriting, the word "Kingdom" is used as Jadj throughout, and scribbled on the margin of it are the elili enT following alternatives -- in case there had to be a choice is 178 from many: "Province, dependency, colony, dominion, vice-royalty and kingdom." Obviously Sir John had been turning these over in his mind and had concluded that "Kingdom" was the word -- and he used it throughout the o notate draft -- that would be the most fitting appellation for Js dordw the new state which he and his colleagues were striving desgree to establish in British North America. Troogs od bluow sbenso to

were not followed then, and the title was adopted which renides In the meantime the law officers of the Crown, bears apparently unaware of the views of Sir John himself, hade on of been at work on their draft of the bill, and on January sideous 23, 1867, they placed that draft before the conference on vionya It provided that the provinces of British North America valor should be united, and I quote from it now words familiar to all of us: "into one Colony with such name as Her Majesty thinks fit. " in Well; the word "colony" in that as of ling is draft from the law officers of the crown found no favour as wise with the delegates of British North America and they mob emaded substituted the word "dominion" for it. Thus the drafts valo of February 2, 1867, provided, and I quote from it: 10110 Jadi "The said Provinces . . shall form and be one united and to of81 Dominion under the name of the Kingdom of Canada." DIt is award interesting to note, Mr. Chairman, that in this draft the word "dominion" was not introduced as an alternative to "kingdom". The name of the proposed dominion was to be the Kingdom of Canada, and until February 9, 1867, this Thank name was retained in the various drafts; but on that later date Sir John gave up the fight with the home authorities and agreed with the proposal that the provinces should mobiled "form and be one Dominion under the name of Canada" a another the

It is clear from this record that Sir John A.

Macdonald's acceptance of the description "dominion" was by no means intended to exclude the eventual recognition that Canada was to be styled a "kingdom," even though at the time it was considered inappropriate to include this word in the name of the new country--our country. ...

The idea of designating Canada as a kingdom did not die with Sir John. It is possibly not quite so well known to students of history that when in 1901 a change in the Royal style and titles was being contemplated the designation was given careful consideration again.

The exchange of telegrams between the Secretary of State for the Colonies of that day, Mr. Joseph Chamberlain, and the colonial governors who were instructed to consult their ministers on the question of the new Royal style and titles reveals that the Colonial Secretary—and I quote from his dispatch of the day, was: "very desirous that the separate and greatly increased importance of the Colonies should be recognized if possible."