

before such an action can be brought. The Master agreed with this contention, and directed the present motion to stand for a week to enable an application to be made to the Referee, notice of which should be given to the plaintiffs. G. H. Kilmer, K.C., for the liquidator. H. E. Rose, K.C., for the plaintiffs.

---

CRABBE V. CRABBE—MASTER IN CHAMBERS—JAN. 20.

*Interpleader—Payment into Court—Husband and Wife—Rival Claims to Money Due from Sale of Chattels.*—This was an action to have it declared that certain lands and chattels which had been dealt with by the defendant were the property of her husband, the plaintiff. The farm in question had been leased for five years, at a rent of \$700 a year, to one Roche, who had also bought from the defendant and partly paid her for the chattels. A further payment being due, the plaintiff served upon Roche a formal notice of his claim, and Roche now moved for the usual interpleader order. The Master said that the facts were analogous to those in *Trebilcock v. Trebilcock*, 2 O.W.N. 303. Unless, therefore, the parties could agree on some different arrangement, an order must be made as in that case. F. J. Roche, for the applicant. E. W. Boyd, for the defendant. Johnston (W. Laidlaw), for the plaintiff.

---

\*PARSONS V. CITY OF LONDON—DIVISIONAL COURT—JAN. 24.

*Municipal Corporations—Sale of Municipal Lands—City Hall Property—Market Place—Change of Site—Powers of Municipality—Authority to Sell—1 Geo. V. ch. 95, sec. 10 (O.)—Position of Council—Trustees—Precautions—Bona Fides—Reasonable Grounds.*—Appeal by the plaintiff from the judgment of MIDDLETON, J., 25 O.L.R. 172, ante 321. The appeal was heard by FALCONBRIDGE, C.J.K.B., BRITTON and RIDDELL, JJ. The Court dismissed the appeal with costs. N. W. Rowell, K.C., and C. G. Jarvis, for the plaintiff. T. G. Meredith, K.C., for the defendants the Corporation of the City of London. J. B. McKillop, for the defendants the Royal Bank of Canada.

\*To be reported in the Ontario Law Reports.