

BOOKS TO READ.

Persons of an enquiring turn of mind and those who earnestly desire to learn something of the whys and wherefores of homœopathy, cannot do better than begin their reading by a close perusal of Hahnemann's *Organon*, which contains the sum and substance of the healing art in a readable form.

Though written nearly 100 years ago, it is abreast of the so-called most modern work on medicine in so far as curing disease is concerned. No book on the subject has stood the test of time as the *Organon* has, written by a master mind who evidently had in view the needs of future generations. As often happens, when we become restless, and tend to stray in what we conceive to be new paths, we are amazed to find after all our weary struggles, that we have simply made a great detour to arrive at the point Hahnemann did in his old book.

To those who wish to know the great mystery of why homœopathy cures, no better or simpler guide can be given, and while the astute reader may cavil at the apparent simplicity of language and argument, he will be forced to admit the logic used, and will eventually be lost in wonder at the breadth and depth of the mind that could produce such a lasting and all-comprehensive picture.

Another book which should be read by all desiring information is Sharp's "*Tracts on Homœopathy*," a collection of irrefutable arguments in all that appertains to the system. Forcibly written, clear cut reasons, put in easy style, that holds the reader better than any modern novel, it is sure to repay perusal.

Jones' "*Grounds of a Homœopath's Faith*" is the book most likely to awaken a lively interest in the subject, which in the fair-minded reader will not be allowed to drop, simply because homœopathy is

not universally adopted. In fact any of Dr. Jones' writings on the subject will well repay the time spent by the earnest seeker after truth.

Burnett's "*Fifty Reasons for being a Homœopath*," is a book that all should read, written by a man who was so disgusted with the allopathic practice of medicine and with signing death certificates in a large London hospital, that he determined to quit practice and turn his attention to some other means of making an honest living. On looking into homœopathy, in order to refute it, he became convinced that it was either all truth or all lies, and set about to find out which. Read it and learn his reasons, and see if they do not stand the test to the unbiased mind. The book is highly instructive, inasmuch as it is packed full of illustrative cases taken from a large practice.

Bradford's "*Logic of Figures*" can scarcely be called a readable book, but presents very forcibly and tersely the advantages of homœopathic treatment.

One of the best, if not the very best of modern books on homœopathy is Nash's "*Leaders in Homœopathic Therapeutics*." Although written for doctors and students, it presents in a most readable form a very telling argument in favor of homœopathy, gathered from an experience of thirty years' practice by a man who was eminently material and practical (?) at the beginning and only forced to see the error of his ways by sheer necessity or desperation.

Of the smaller works and pamphlets whose name is legion, one of the most noted is Holcombe's "*How I Became a Homœopath*," of which it is said "has convinced more people of the truth of homœopathy than any other pamphlet published." Another of Holcombe's is "*What is Homœopathy*," and you will