

THE STEAM END.*

BY JAMES MILNE.

In a paper read at a former convention of this association, it was stated that purchasers of electrical apparatus made very careful enquiries as to the efficiency of same, the price being of secondary importance. If this applies to the electrical, why should it not apply to the steam end as well? I am sure that there are very few plants where the proprietors, or those in charge, enquire as to the efficiency of the boilers and engines, the price with these items being the very first consideration, generally. It is very seldom, in specifications for steam plants, that there is anything said about the efficiency of the boilers, or the water consumption per horse-power at the engine. This I consider one of the most important points in connection with the steam plant. There are engines running which are supposed to be first-class and up to date, where the water consumption per horse-power is nearly double what it ought to be, and it the management in some of these plants would go to the trouble of calculating the coal consumption per electrical horse-power at the bus, I am of the opinion that the results obtained would simply astonish them. I, myself, have records of the coal consumption of a plant, together with the total meter readings, extending over a number of years, and allowing for the loss on the line, together with that on the generators, and although the plant was a non-condensing one, yet the records there are not out of the way. In another plant where I was making a two days' test as to the relative values of coal, I found the coal consumption per E. H. P. at the bus just double the former plant, which might be considered high by some. This second plant was condensing. The load was of such a nature as to make the engine very unsuitable for the work.

I am inclined to think that builders of engines should be made to guarantee a certain steam consumption per indicated h.p. at say 25 per cent. over-load, full load and half load, and that tests should be made to determine if the guarantee has been fulfilled. If the guarantee has been more than fulfilled, let a bonus be given to the builders, and if not fulfilled, so much to be deducted for every 1 per cent. below the guarantee; and if it falls below a certain amount, that is to say, the steam consumption exceeds a certain fixed value, the engine to be removed, or the builders to accept a nominal figure for same. I think if means of this kind were adopted we would get engines of a very high order. Engineers, as a rule, are content so long as they get a fine looking card from their engine, but they very seldom from these cards calculate the steam consumption, which is of vital interest. We have quite a large number of good engine builders in the country, but the number guaranteeing their efficiency is very limited indeed; in fact, I am not aware of any. If tenders are invited for a certain style of engine and the tenderers are called upon to guarantee the steam consumption per B. H. P., the party to receive the contract is the one guaranteeing the least steam consumption per h.p. hour, the cost being of secondary importance. After the engine has been installed and run for some time so as to get down to its proper bearing, carefully conducted tests should be made to ascertain if the guarantee has been fulfilled.

The matter of efficiency of boilers is also one of great importance, but it is not so easily arrived at, owing to the difference in coal. At the same time, however, it would not be a very difficult matter to fix on a certain coal for a standard, and to guarantee so many pounds of water evaporated per lb. of that coal. All boilers, I believe, should be sold by the Centennial Standard, and should be capable of developing their rating with easy firing, showing good work with ordinary coal, and should be capable of being forced 50 per cent. above their rating. There was a recommendation something to this effect made by the Committee of Judges at the Centennial Exhibition, the horse power being $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. from and at 212 degrees, which is equivalent to 33,305 heat units. We should get an efficiency close on to 80 degrees with good boilers, and this could be roughly determined with anthracite coal, and if we get 12 lbs. of water evaporating from and at 212 degrees, we have approximately this efficiency, the heating value of the combustible being about 14,500 heat units, which is equivalent to 15 lbs. evaporated from and at 212 degrees, therefore 80 per cent of this gives us 12 lbs. With bituminous coals we have not such uniformity,

and it is necessary to determine its heating value either by the coal calorimeter or chemical analysis.

After our boilers and engines are installed, we have to face the problem of running them. It has often been stated that men could be got to do anything, men being more easily replaced than machinery, costing practically nothing as it were. I am of the opinion that this is wrong. Cheap men are numerous we know, but are in the long run very expensive. Good men are scarce, and nowhere is this more noticeable than in the boiler room. Good firemen are very scarce, coal shovellers numerous. In my humble estimation, credit is not given to the firemen that should be. If a plant is run fairly economically as far as coal consumption is concerned, the engineer is more apt to get this credit, but as a matter of fact all he does is to turn on the steam and see that the bearings are oiled. Now and again he may walk into the boiler room to ascertain if the fireman is asleep or not. To have good firing the greatest of skill has to be manifested to get the best results from the coal, and where we are dependent on skill to get first-class results we are depending on a very uncertain quantity. Too much latitude is given the fireman in the matter of coal, and he has it in his power to make or lose nearly a dividend for the company that employs him. Attention is being given to this subject by the largest steam users in the country, whereby the duties of the fireman are being greatly relieved by mechanical devices; their action being positive and not dependent on skill, the machines thus taking the place of the brains of the fireman. This you will agree is a great step in advance, and makes central station management very independent regarding firemen.

IMPULSE WATER WHEELS.*

BY J. T. FARMER, M.A.E.

(Continued)

II.—Nozzle .7532 in. diameter. (a) Pressure 75 lbs. per sq. inch. Equivalent head = 175 feet. Discharge = 120 gallons per minute.

Speed.	Horse Power.	Efficiency.
402	3.68	58.5
501	4.10	65.0
618	4.34	68.8
675	4.34	68.9
750	4.33	68.7
770	4.30	67.7

(b) Pressure 100 lbs. per sq. inch. Equivalent head = 235 feet. Discharge = 138 gallons per minute.

Speed.	Horse Power.	Efficiency.
370	4.82	49.4
371	4.86	50.0
475	5.67	58.4
515	5.95	60.7
588	6.20	63.9
654	6.60	67.8
668	6.66	68.6
756	6.88	70.8
815	6.72	69.3
911	6.35	65.6

In connection with the above results it is interesting and important to notice that the highest actual efficiency appears at a speed which is about .9 of that which theoretically should give the maximum efficiency.

A most important difference between an impulse water wheel and a turbine of either the impulse or pressure type is that the construction of the latter allows a larger area of water to be applied to the wheel for the same dimensions of wheel. In the turbine the wetted surfaces bear a much larger proportion to the size of the wheel than in an impulse wheel, and those surfaces in the turbine are constantly in action, while in the impulse wheel their action is intermittent. When the head of water is small a correspondingly large quantity has to be used to give a required horse-power, and in this case the turbine has the advantage of passing a much larger quantity than the impulse wheel. When the head is very large this feature of the turbine becomes a disadvantage, as it becomes a difficult

*A paper read before the Canadian Electrical Association.

*From a Paper read before the Canadian Society of Civil Engineers.