"Simplex" Separator

Is as Simple as

DON'T get away with the opinion that the "Simplex" is complicated, like other machines. It is as simple in construction and operation as A.B.C.

BECAUSE of the simplicity and minimum of working parts, it can scarcely get out of repair and will last a lifetime. Surely this one great factor in itself should be enough to convince you that your new Separator should be a "Simplex."

BUT several of the other important features of the "Simplex"

Easy to Run Easy to Clean Low Down Supply Can Interchangeable Spindle Point Self-Balancing Bowl So Quick in Separating

WE can't begin to give you the full particulars covering each ne of these "Simplex" features, but we'll be glad to send you descriptive matter giving all information you want about this peer amongst cream separators. Drop us a card.

A LSO tell us how many cows you milk, and we will give you estimates of what it will cost you to install the B-L-K Mechanical Milker in your stables. Ask for free booklet.

D. Derbyshire @ Co.

Head Office and Works - - BROCKVILLE, ONT. Branches: PETERBOROUGH, Ont. MONTREAL and QUEBEC, P. Q.

WE WANT AGENTS IN A FEW UNREPRESENTED DISTRICTS

Choose Good Stanchions

What's YOUR idea of a GOOD Stanchion? Isn't it one that will never bulge or sag, one that will last you a lifetime, one that will look and unlook as easily a year from now or ten years from now as on the day you bought it—and one that holds the cattle surely? now as on the day you bought it—and one that nous the earlier way. The O. K. Canadian Stanchion comes up to all these requirements— because we've been making Stanchions so long that we're on to every wrinkle in the business and we know just how to make every part best and what to make it of.

Frames of the best U-bar or channel-section steel—so strong and rigid that they simply can't bulge or bend. Swing hard highed hich instead of at the bottom—so they will always be easy to lock and subck—and niced so that they cannot fall to the floor when open, to be tread on and best.

A lock that's so simple that it can't get out of order in years of use, easy to work, and proof against the "slickest" soon



CANADIAN POTATO MACHINERY CO ONTARIO

THAT ASTOR PROPERTY-MR, CURRIE COMES BACK *

and Dairy you again make cer-tain statements about that Astor property which tend to create a wrong impression on the minds of your readers regarding the dealings of the Astor family and the conclusions of one of your old subscribers.

You show that the total increase in value and returns from the Astor Hotel has amounted not only \$7,436,000, but to \$7,436,000 \$7,430,000, but to \$7,430,000 plas \$2,350,000, or a total of \$9,786,000, and say, "We take no exception to the Astor family obtaining all the returns they can in the form of interest or rent on their original investment. We do take exception to their grabbing; also the \$2,350,000 of increased value which the community at large has created, and not the Astor family. That increased value belongs to the public, and should be claimed by the public through a tax on land values."

ten the fact that the Astor family have for 80 years been paying a heavy municipal tax on their hotel property. If the annual sums thus paid in taxes on the hotel had been otherwise invested so as to return five per cent. compound interest, it would now amount to over \$6,226,000. hve per cent. compound interest, it would now amount to over \$6,226,000. In addition to this, had the original cost of the hotel and its site been otherwise invested so as to return otherwise invested so as to return five per cent, compound interest, it would now amount to over \$14,872, 000. Add to this the amount which could have been secured had the annual tax bills been otherwise invested to bring in five per cent. compound interest, the total sum would now amount to over \$21,098,000, which the Astor family would have onestly earned had they invested

You seem to have entirely forgot-

the money in general business instead of in the hotel and its site.

Had the site of the Astor Hotel been left vacant until the present time, the annual municipal tax bill on account of it would have amounted in the aggregate to over \$320,000, and had these annual tax bills been otherthese annual tax bills been other-wise invested so as to yield five per cent, compound interest, the sum would now amount to over \$3,885,000. After having spent the equivalent of more than \$7,500,000 in taxes, all

of which were spent for public poses, some people have the cheek to say that the Astor family did nothing towards increasing the value of their lot from \$150,000, its original cost, to \$2,500,000, its present value.

Farm and Dairy owes it to the Astors, to the general public, and to itself to let these facts be known, in itself to let these facts be known, order to correct certain misconceptions which its former article may have raised in the public mind.—David Currie, Lansdowne Avenue, Westmourt, Que.

ANOTHER VIEW OF THE CASE Editorial Note.—The principle of the taxation of land values is of great importance to the farming communimportance to the farming community. When rightly understood and applied it will lift an immense burden of taxation off the shoulders of the farmer. For this reason, and because the principle involved in this discussion underlies the taxation of all valuable business properties in Canada as well as in the States, Mr. Currie is doing a public service in defending his stand, and we feel jus-

defending his stand, and we feel jus-tified in giving the subject more space than we otherwise would. Without attempting to ascertain how our correspondent is able to quote figures so authoritatively, we would like to draw attention to some of the well taken points in his let-ter. In the first place—while we know that they did not pay them—we consider that it was an injustice that

Note.—For previous articles in this dis-cussion, see the issues of Farm and Dairy for July J. August 7 and September 11.

DITOR, Farm and Dairy,—In the Astor family were even expected the September 11 issue of Farm to pay taxes on their hotel building. By erecting that building they did the public a service. They created work for the people who built in they provided work for the employers they had to engage to run it, and they furnished accommodation travelling public. By catering to wants of the public they aided that extent in reducing the cost living, as the more hotels there the lower are the rates charged the public. For all these reasons, there fore, we feel that the Asto family should not have been expected to past taxes on their hotel buildings. LAND VS. IMPROVEMENTS

When we come to the land occupied When we come to the be-by that building, however, it be-matter. The land comes a different matter. The land was made by God, not by the Astor was made by God, not by the Astor family. It was intended for the use of mankind at large and not for the especial benefit of the Astor connec-tion. The best proof of this is the fact that that piece of land was there long before the Astor family had been beard of and will be three we may heard of and will be there, we may presume, long after the Astor family has passed out of existence. has passed out of existence. It is only right, therefore, that for the privilege of using that piece of ground the Astor family should pay the public, in the form of taxes what its use was worth to them, and that these taxes should increase as the value of the land itself increased with the influx of population into the city of New York,

would like to point out that the Astor family, as a matter of fact, did not pay the taxes on the hotel building, as our correspondent seems to think. Instead, the longsuffering public had to pay them the danger of repeating explanations given previously of this simple principle in taxation we will describe it once more. Before buying the site for the hotel or erecting a thereon the Astofs, like all good business men, made a careful esti-mate of the investment that would be required and of the operating ex-penses that would be involved in its managment, including all taxes.
They added these together and then
made a conservative estimate of the revenue and profit they might safely expect to derive from the enterprise. When assured that they were safe in estimating that they would receive a fair rate of interest over and above all taxes, they proceeded to erect thotel. Had they not been assured this return on their total investo would not Thus the taxes were really

added to the bills charged the patrons of the hotel and paid by them and not by the Astors.

In addition, therefore, to reing a profitable return on their vestment in the site of the hotel and vestment in the site of the hotel and in the building itself, the Astors also received over \$29,000, or a total of \$2,350,000, from the increase that took place in the value of the six itself. (This has been explained in previous articles.) It is this \$29,000 a year or \$2,350,000 that we contest belongs to the public and not to the Astor family. It is because hundreds of millions of dollars of these publicle created values are each year passet into the hands of private parties, in Canada as well as in the States, the we feel our farmers' organization are justified in the stand they har taken that these values should be claimed by the public. All aroust Westmount, for instance, from wheth created values are each year pass our correspondent writes. ortunes have been made throughenomenal increases in land value Had the public received their sho of these and other similarly increa-values taxes in Canada would not so high, and farming generally wo considerably more profitable.

Issued Each Week

Vol. XXXII.

HANI

Rev. Father Lea

How Fruit is Picke

DICKING and p is a science. accounts for from off condition o or in storage. When should we l

rule the apple is re

turn brown and the

tive ease from the finite. A man must pearance of the ap is ready to pick, and only learn from exp a way the color is the For instance, in t Wealthy, Fameuse tosh apple, the color mature one. Duch picked before it read color, if we intend to But even in this cas not recommend at Duchess too much or

An apple is general pick when it is well does not mean that should be ripe enor eaten, but ripe enou shipped, keep well a good taste. To pick this stage is very imp all apples on a tre mature at the same in the case of Duches erally make two or t ings.

AVOID OVER-RIPE? With red apples t growing tendency on ers, on account of th color, to allow apples tree. Disappointment of such a line of cond ers were just glowing had, when there came windfalls of half of the But this is only one s

An apple that is all the tree is beautiful i and as far as casual of condition. This is w Such apples are neithe far or to hold up in e judge the proper matur many complaints from ly, after a very dry a our McIntosh and Fam and the falling down in