

## RULES FOR C.P. CONDUCT

This campus has been witnessing a concerted effort to tighten up the rules governing student conduct. The value of this move is questionable and so too are the efforts of that group which is mainly responsible for seeing that students conduct themselves in a proper manner. This group is none other than our very own campus police force. Some aspects of the campus police force were discussed in one of the columns of the Brunswickan a short time ago.

Two more aspects of the behavior of the campus police should be held up to public scrutiny. First we have the fact that spontaneous outbursts of student spirit have been stymied. For example a student got up at a hockey game to cheer his team on to victory. A CP approached and said, "I've been watching you fella". Up to this point the spectator had done nothing, but as due punishment he was forced to sit down. In addition he had to pour out his drink, coffee. Now when many people attend the games they actually feel uncomfortable with the junior gestapo telling them to sit down, to stop throwing paper, or anything else that meets their fancy.

The second aspect of CP-behavior involves that of public accountability. In other words, who tells the CP's how they are to conduct themselves? In theory it is the SRC, but in practice the campus police force has operated as an autonomous body.

Now should this be allowed to continue? To decide let us proceed with another event which, incidentally, has become more and more characteristic of police force conduct. A student was on his way into a football rally when his room-mate, a CP, stopped him and asked to see his student card. Unfortunately this student forgot his card and ended up paying for the right to support his team. What is wrong here is a preoccupation with rules with no consideration to the games, or other campus events free of charge unless they are students. This is why the card is asked for. Yet if the person on the gate knows that a certain individual is a student, and is behaving himself, there is little reason for not letting him in.

Given this situation, the SRC is fully warranted to take steps to see that the campus police are provided with detailed rules for their action. In addition, the SRC should review the operations of the CPs from time to time.

The members of the new council need not fear that they are not acting in the best interests of the students in this case. A limitation on CP activities is required so that all members of this student body can enjoy a game or other function without the constant scrutiny of the police. A police force should function to correct major misdemeanors, not innocent and harmless actions at student functions.

## ITS UP TO THE FACULTY

In an attempt to solve the annual problem of getting final marks published earlier, the SRC has approached various members of the faculty with the following plan:

A post card, with the name and address of the student is clipped onto each examination paper. On marking the exam, the professor or lecturer places the final mark on both the exam and the post card. The exams are sent to the registrar, and the post cards are dropped in the mail. Final results are obtained almost a month earlier.

This post card report would only be an official report and could not, in any way be used as a transcript of marks. However, the agony of waiting for the big word would be somewhat relieved.

On conferring unofficially with the Deans of the various faculties, definite support for this plan was obtained. There will naturally be a few drawbacks to this system, but anything is an improvement over our present situation.

## LAWSON APPEAL FAILS

Last Monday's meeting will stand in student annals as being one of the best attended and most controversial gatherings ever held by the Student Government.

The point at hand was whether Tom Lawson would be able to hold Office in the Drama Society for the coming College Year. The Student Disciplinary Committee, which met two weeks ago stated that he would not be permitted to do so as a result of his actions as Business Manager of the Drama Society. The subject of Monday's meeting was Mr. Lawson's appeal. After preliminary discussion

as to whether Mr. Lawson really knew that student funds were being mishandled, several Council members tried to prove that his actions were contrary to the SRC Constitution. "We had a list of over 25 items that were purchased which were not budgeted for." Other Members considered that Lawson did in fact believe that student funds were "being mishandled", as was made manifest by the split vote on the Carty-Davis motion that the appeal be dismissed.

The above vote was secret because, in Ross Webster's words, "It would give Tom

Lawson every benefit of the doubt." Mr. Webster was referring to the fact that several council members stated that they felt bound to uphold the SDC decision of the preceding weeks.

The meeting was, in one observer's words, "a through farce, as, first of all, the SDC's actions were completely unconstitutional (to wit, the 5:00 A.M. inquisition), and second of all, most of the members, and certainly not the ones who voted, knew what was going on. The Council was grasping at straws, and trying to make a charge on almost any basis."

## Letters To The Editor

### ARE YOU BEING WATCHED?

Sir:

I would like to file a mild protest through the media of the Student's Voice with regard to a rather ludicrous matter. I would like to compliment the conscientious fashion in which the Campus Police effect their role of student arbitrators. But the literal interpretation of the law which they are charged to uphold at times borders the ridiculous.

I speak of the generalization that the Campus Police are instructed to reprimand any student who flagrantly or innocently violates the premise that a student must at all times behave in a fashion becoming such a station in life. This premise results in a rather hazy interpretation which generalizes nothing in particular.

With regard to this ruling, I found myself in an embarrassing position at a hockey game this past weekend. Due to an uncomfortable sensation in the nasal area, at one point in the game I succumbed to an irresistible urge to relieve the tension. Unfortunately the only person who noticed the subtle motions of my exploring index finger was the ever vigilant Campus Policeman in my aisle. He made flagrant gestures in my direction drawing the attention of my neighbors to my private action and then proceeded to reprimand me in two-syllable terms. This for me proved to be a traumatic experience and the embarrassment which I suffered was akin to nausea.

Rather than sue for defamation of character, I prefer to relate this tale to the students with the hope that my tale will inspire the Campus Police to exercise a more liberal and possibly a more just application of the rules.

A Newformed Neurotic

### A.A.A. PRESIDENT

Sir:

The Amateur Athletic Association is presently in the stages of complete reorganization. In my personal opinion, the A.A.A. has not fully played its role as an important function of student government over the past year. Athletics, as I am sure you are aware, play an important

and key role on any campus. Our University is no exception.

Generally speaking, and in a very broad sense, control of athletics should remain within the hands of the student body and not be allowed to pass on unnoticed to the powers that be. Unless there are some drastic changes in attitudes on the part of our student body, increased interest within the A.A.A., and forceful and enlightened management of the A.A.A. constitution and by-laws, I can see no reasonable possibility of maintaining this control on athletics by the student body through its government. However, I remain optimistic and present this submission with the view that the A. A. A. will become stronger and continue in its existence.

The A.A.A. is responsible only to the University Athletic Board, a board which is represented by students, faculty, and university authorities all of whom are particularly interested in our athletic program here at U.N.B. This Board has not been set up as a forum wherein the requests, interests, and proposals of the students are simply denied. It is not, indeed, an arena where the students flex and match their muscles with those of the faculty representatives and university authorities. Instead, it consists of individuals who are genuinely interested in promoting and maintaining an entertaining, well-balanced, co-ordinated and responsible athletic program on our campus. The A.A.A. occupies the single most important student voice on this Board. It is through the support of the student body, as shown through the elections, nominations, and appointments made by it, that the A.A.A. can be represented by those who are interested and determined to keep this voice strong.

At present the A.A.A. is in the stages of complete reorganization. The Constitution is presently being revised and its bylaws are being drafted in order that it might have a firm foundation on which to stand and operate. Changes and revision have long been neglected. One such change deals with the

faculty representation on the A.A.A. which under the present constitution is made through appointment by the respective faculty society rather than by election. From my experience and association with the A.A.A. over the past three years this is not enough - a student who is appointed or, worse still, elected by acclamation, to a position within a student governmental body such as the A.A.A., does not bring the same degree of responsibility, interest, or concern to the position as one who is elected by a representative body with a competitive atmosphere. There are numerous other changes which have been recognized and, hopefully, will be instituted in the near future.

This year the A.A.A. has made the initial break with the S.R.C. The A.A.A. is no longer responsible to the S.R.C., but remains very definitely responsible to the student body with regard to athletics on our campus. The A.A.A. becomes the sole student voice, in matters relating to athletics, which can be presented before the university authorities through the University Athletic Board.

Having made this break, however, I feel that it may have been premature or, perhaps, without real justification. If the A.A.A. is to become strong it must receive recognition - it could not receive that recognition while still part of the S.R.C. In my mind, this degree of autonomy had to be obtained.

Now, as mentioned, I question this separation. I question now whether the A.A.A. is stronger or weaker. In taking office of President last Spring I proposed to promote and publicize the activities and responsibilities of your A.A.A. Through the efforts of some hard working members of our past A.A.A., this was accomplished in some degree. I believe that this year the student heard more about the A.A.A. than in previous years. But still, the A.A.A. did not thrive on student interest or support and, as a result, I question my personal contributions to the A.A.A.

And so the A.A.A. remains  
(Continued on Page 5)

## Brunswickan



Established in 1867, The Brunswickan is published each Wednesday by and for the students of the University of New Brunswick at Fredericton, N.B. Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of the Students' Representative Council. Subscriptions are available to non-students at \$3.00 a year. Authorized as second class matter, Post Office Department, Ottawa, and paid for in cash.

OFFICE: Memorial Student Centre Phone GRanit 5-5191

Editor	..... Rod Mills
Managing Editor	..... Dave Clark
Business Manager	..... Bill Freeland
News Editor	..... Derek Hamilton
Features Editor	..... Joyce Bradley
Sports Editors	..... UNB Red Devils (honourary)
CUP Editor	..... Ann Colwell
Layouts Editor	..... Donna Atchison
Proofs Editor	..... Ian Stoddard
Cartoonist	..... George Phemister

Russel and the boys have been thrown out by a band of equally incompetent editors, supported by a cast of slack typists, reporters, and general hangers-on.