

The Toronto World.

No. 33 YONGE STREET, TORONTO.

Daily World, in advance, \$8 per year.
Sunday World, in advance, \$2 per year.
Telephone: 222, 224, 226, 228, 230, 232, 234, 236, 238, 240, 242, 244, 246, 248, 250, 252, 254, 256, 258, 260, 262, 264, 266, 268, 270, 272, 274, 276, 278, 280, 282, 284, 286, 288, 290, 292, 294, 296, 298, 300, 302, 304, 306, 308, 310, 312, 314, 316, 318, 320, 322, 324, 326, 328, 330, 332, 334, 336, 338, 340, 342, 344, 346, 348, 350, 352, 354, 356, 358, 360, 362, 364, 366, 368, 370, 372, 374, 376, 378, 380, 382, 384, 386, 388, 390, 392, 394, 396, 398, 400, 402, 404, 406, 408, 410, 412, 414, 416, 418, 420, 422, 424, 426, 428, 430, 432, 434, 436, 438, 440, 442, 444, 446, 448, 450, 452, 454, 456, 458, 460, 462, 464, 466, 468, 470, 472, 474, 476, 478, 480, 482, 484, 486, 488, 490, 492, 494, 496, 498, 500, 502, 504, 506, 508, 510, 512, 514, 516, 518, 520, 522, 524, 526, 528, 530, 532, 534, 536, 538, 540, 542, 544, 546, 548, 550, 552, 554, 556, 558, 560, 562, 564, 566, 568, 570, 572, 574, 576, 578, 580, 582, 584, 586, 588, 590, 592, 594, 596, 598, 600, 602, 604, 606, 608, 610, 612, 614, 616, 618, 620, 622, 624, 626, 628, 630, 632, 634, 636, 638, 640, 642, 644, 646, 648, 650, 652, 654, 656, 658, 660, 662, 664, 666, 668, 670, 672, 674, 676, 678, 680, 682, 684, 686, 688, 690, 692, 694, 696, 698, 700, 702, 704, 706, 708, 710, 712, 714, 716, 718, 720, 722, 724, 726, 728, 730, 732, 734, 736, 738, 740, 742, 744, 746, 748, 750, 752, 754, 756, 758, 760, 762, 764, 766, 768, 770, 772, 774, 776, 778, 780, 782, 784, 786, 788, 790, 792, 794, 796, 798, 800, 802, 804, 806, 808, 810, 812, 814, 816, 818, 820, 822, 824, 826, 828, 830, 832, 834, 836, 838, 840, 842, 844, 846, 848, 850, 852, 854, 856, 858, 860, 862, 864, 866, 868, 870, 872, 874, 876, 878, 880, 882, 884, 886, 888, 890, 892, 894, 896, 898, 900, 902, 904, 906, 908, 910, 912, 914, 916, 918, 920, 922, 924, 926, 928, 930, 932, 934, 936, 938, 940, 942, 944, 946, 948, 950, 952, 954, 956, 958, 960, 962, 964, 966, 968, 970, 972, 974, 976, 978, 980, 982, 984, 986, 988, 990, 992, 994, 996, 998, 1000.

THE WORLD OUTSIDE.

The World can be had at the following news stands:
Windsor Hotel, Montreal.
St. Lawrence Hall, Montreal.
Peacock & Jones, Montreal.
Wolfeboro News Co., Montreal.
P.O. News Co., 217 Desmarces, New York.
John McDonald, 217 Desmarces, New York.
F. A. Melrose, 217 Desmarces, New York.
McKay & Southern, 217 Desmarces, New York.
Raymond & Doherty, 217 Desmarces, New York.

ADVERTISING RATE.

15 cents per line—with discount on advance orders of 20 or more insertions, or of 100 or more lines to be used within a year.
Positions may be contracted for subject to earlier contracts with other advertisers.
An advertiser contracting for 4 or more lines of space to be used within a year may have, when practicable, a selected position without extra cost.
Positions will be charged at 20 per cent. advance on regular rates.
All advertisements are subject to approval as to character, wording and display.
Advertisements are free to examine the subscription list at any time.
"Want" advertisements, one cent a word each insertion.

PREFERENCE AND PROTECTION.

An argument very commonly used against advocates of preferential trade in Canada is set forth by The Hamilton Times in these words:

There remains then to be considered the scheme for British preference in our favor, while we retain a high tariff against British goods. The merits or demerits of such a scheme need not be discussed. John Bull, whatever he may be, is not a fool, and he is not going to indulge in raptures over any such scheme. That is not the shape in which the scheme is being pushed. If he is to give up his world's free market and limit his free buying to the colonies, the colonies must make it worth while by pulling down the tariff wall. Will he do it?

Canadian protectionists have nothing to gain by ignoring this objection. In the first place, as protectionists, they do not admit that Great Britain would be doing itself an injury by adopting protection. That is a free trade view, which The Hamilton Times may advocate with a good conscience. But it is not a protectionist view, and it is not fair to accuse Canadian protectionists of advocating a swindle when they sympathize with a protectionist movement in Great Britain.

In the second place, we doubt whether the protectionist movement is much helped in Great Britain by making it so largely a colonial matter, and so largely a matter of duties on grain. We admit that we have no right to ask Great Britain to reimpose protective duties unless they are going to help the people of England, Ireland and Scotland. It can be argued that agriculture would be benefited, not only in England but in Ireland, by protection. But in our opinion, if the change is to be made attractive to British workmen, there must be protection all round; on what the workingman makes, on what his employer sells, as well as on food.

This is protection in its domestic aspect, as it affects the British Islands. Imperial protection is another thing. Undoubtedly it involves the idea of British manufacturers selling more goods in the colonies, as well as at home. Undoubtedly from that point of view, we must face the question of their selling more goods in Canada. This might be done by the increase of our population, without any change in the tariff. Ten millions of people, not a very extravagant or remote possibility, would buy nearly \$100,000,000 of British imports if other present conditions were maintained.

Higher duties on British goods, leaving the British tariff as it is, would do a good deal to divert trade to Canadian and British manufacturers. But the point which The Times and other Liberal papers make is that there may be a conflict between Canadian and British manufacturers. Well, there may be, and we must face the question. But the competition is not nearly so keen or so widespread as between Canadian and Americans. In our opinion, this question ought to be threshed out. Nothing is to be gained by ignoring it.

INDEPENDENT FARMERS' TELEPHONE.

Our correspondents show that Illinois, too, is not so far advanced in Michigan regard to two-cent fares, enjoys great advantages in regard to another matter that has been agitated in Canada—inde, independent telephones, especially in rural districts. All companies are forced to exchange at reasonable rates. Telephones are so cheap that farmers have them not only in their homes, but in their barns, and in connection with their threshers. All the telephone companies have connections with the railway offices, and the railway companies encourage this, because it brings them business and saves them trouble and expense. Read Mr. Porter's letter, and see how well the system works.

Last month there was a convention of independent telephone companies at Chicago. It is only nine years since the first independent telephone company was established in the United States. The Bell Telephone Company then had 600,000 lines in use, after 18 years' work. In half this time the independent companies had 2,500,000 subscribers. Nearly all the farm houses of the better class now have phones. In Michigan there are eight independent phones for one Bell, and in Illinois four independent phones to one Bell. Farmers now regard a telephone no longer as a luxury, but as a necessity.

THE GRAND TRUNK PACIFIC.

It is significant that The Toronto News, which at first seemed to be one of the strongest advocates of the government's Grand Trunk Pacific scheme, should now be treating it in an exceedingly sceptical and critical manner, and virtually adopting Mr. Blair's argument for delay. On Tuesday it said that public opinion seemed to be distinctly prejudiced against the government's proposals, and was seriously disturbed by Mr. Blair's resignation, and that public opinion might sanction the more heroic proposal of Mr. Blair, that the whole line should be constructed and operated by the government. Yesterday it presented a strong argument

for delaying the construction of the line from Winnipeg eastward, north of the height of land.

A sharp discussion, says The News, must be drawn between a colonization or feeder line and a trunk line. The former may be cheaply built. The latter is glad of any kind of railway. But the trunk line must be built with a view to economy of operation rather than of construction.

Careful and deliberate exploration of the route north of the height of land will be necessary if the trunk line project is to fulfill the hopes of its projectors. The line is to be great through highway for the service of Western Canada. It must have the easiest gradients possible, the gentlest curves possible, the longest stretches of straight line possible. Sir Sandford Fleming recently stated that the ideal of the new route should run downhill all the way from Winnipeg to Quebec.

The Western grain route is to be pulled up hill for one mile of its journey. The attainment of a perfect location for the trunk line in examination of the ground. The stake is worth it.

The country must be "minutely explored." We must have not only a good, but the best location. "It is a little theatrical to talk of the instant setting of thousands of men to work at so many a place," says The News. There is no doubt of the soundness of the view that a trunk line, extending to rival not only railways but water routes, must be of the best and most expensive kind. A large part of the Western grain now goes by water as far as Buffalo, and thence by rail to New York. This route is sought because it has the maximum efficiency of lake and rail carriage. The route is the all-water route by the St. Lawrence, on which we have spent many millions of public money. A few years ago the government newspapers were full of the advantages of deepening these canals and improving the lake and river harbors. Now we have a sudden change to all-rail transportation. Has the government really any concern, or compensation plan, for transportation, or is it merely going as fast and as far as it may be pushed by enthusiasts and interested promoters from time to time?

If the government were building a government line, it could afford to make experiments and to change its plans. But it has now entered into a contract with the Grand Trunk Pacific, the terms of which are to be officially laid to rest today. What kind of line does it contract to build for the use of the Grand Trunk Pacific? Is it a colonization road, built cheaply and quickly, with a view to the speedy settlement of the country? If so, it will not do for a trunk line, to enter into the great grain-carrying competition. Is it to be a first-class line, with deep cuttings, level grades, easy curves, and solid roadbed? Is it to be a line into which the country enters as a matter of course, when the contract is brought down, these matters can be more conveniently discussed. But at present the arguments for delay and deliberation seem to be exceptionally strong. There is urgency so far as the immediate relief of the West is concerned, but the all-rail route, involving such a massive obligation, does not meet the demand for immediate relief.

LETTER FROM SENATOR MACDONALD.

Editor World: In the present agitation caused by the advocacy of "preferential trade" within the empire by the Right Hon. Joseph Chamberlain, nothing is more remarkable than the utterances of statesmen of experience—"disrupt the empire." It can be readily understood that there should be a hesitancy to depart from settled customs and move out of well-worn paths as soon as mention is made of "preference," and before a proper understanding of it could be had. Let it be shown by these unimpaired opponents of the fiscal system and commercial arrangements within the empire could the smallest disturbance at home, or invite suggestions for removal from abroad. A 10 or 10 per cent. duty on food stuffs would make no appreciable difference to the consumer, as I can vouch for from experience, having lived under a free trade system, and under a 20 per cent. duty—products of all kinds being sold, four cheaper under the latter than under the former. From the point of view of the regular trader, the duty on food stuffs would be a great advantage, as it would put a stop to the "free trade" system, but from one cause or another, such as competition and an increase in production, the duty on food stuffs is cheaper than under a free trade system. The article of food imported to British Columbia from California and Oregon selling at \$12 per barrel in free port days, and now under a 20 per cent. duty selling at \$4.25 and \$5 per barrel. And the price of fabrics, cotton and woolen, is in the same proportion. A moderate duty on food stuffs from foreign countries, with that from the colonies free, is a highly important industry in British Isles, viz., agriculture, and the necessity for keeping "the trade" open, of less consequence, as the home food supply would be greater. It is not a prudent policy to rely entirely on "free trade" for the home food supply, which in time of war would stand a good chance of serious interruption, "disrupt the empire" is a phrase that millions of acres of land lying waste and many thousands of strong, willing hands here to day unemployed, would be a great advantage to the country, and a judicious protection from the surplus of the home food supply could be put on the regular trade, and a duty on food stuffs from foreign countries could have all the free corn she could consume, the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she retaliate in face of the supposed harm done by them, and therefore likely that any nation would pretend to renege or interfere in the trade policy adopted by the empire within the empire? Consider Germany and the United States; their protective duties, and while competing successfully with Great Britain they retain the seasons of rain and drought being favorable to the country. It is a marvel how our many-headed monster, the "free trade" system, and suburban population have allowed free trade to go to such lengths, and in such a manner, as to be different to their home market, as well as the foreign being lessened by foreign trade invasion. Great Britain has not been consulted by other nations when arranging their fiscal and commercial systems, nor did she