

February 10, 1933.

Miss Ragnild Tait,  
McGill University.

Dear Miss Tait,

Let me acknowledge receipt of your letter of February 9th at my office yesterday afternoon. I am sorry I was not here during the afternoon, and so your letter remained unanswered until to-day. This morning, Mr. Reynolds called, and I intimated to him the decision that the ban as to the sale of THE ALARM CLOCK on University property must remain.

I appreciate your coming to see me last Monday, and your courtesy in acknowledging that an error of judgment had been committed when an association was claimed with the University which was not warranted. May I add that I have the greatest respect for the right of everyone to express his or her opinion on any and all questions. I rejoice to see students taking such a deep interest in matters that are of vital import in our social structure. I think the study and discussion of these questions eminently proper activities for students to pursue, and that they should be encouraged in this. I also believe that it is a good thing for students to write their opinions; this practice helps to clear any muddleheadedness that may exist.

But these things do not constitute the question at issue between us.

You say that THE ALARM CLOCK desires to be associated with McGill, and that the evidence of that association is to be the acknowledgment by the University authorities that the paper shall be sold exclusively on University property. I can appreciate the importance you attach to this, because in your first issue you say, "The paper must stand or fall entirely by student and faculty support". The reasons you advance in support of that