

of the game. The seniors of McGill's team returned only last week, whereas Westward has been practising, I understand, for nearly a month.

Every reference to the Westward people is in the superlative, while the references to McGill's playing are in an unduly critical style, and even unfair:- when McGill had the advantage (which they undoubtedly had during the first half) that advantage was ascribed to "errors" on Westward's part, and not to McGill's superiority.

This unfair report of last night's play will undoubtedly cost the McGill team dearly. It will probably keep many people away from future games.

We ask for nothing more than fair play, a fair report of our games. Apparently, unless some change is made in the reporting, we shall suffer this year as we have in the past. The GAZETTE carries encouraging reports of what Queen's, Toronto and Western teams are doing, but apparently McGill's team is to be beaten before it starts. When the Sarnia team won from Western University by a score of 13 or 14 to 1, every excuse was made for the defeat of the Western team; and Sarnia, I think, can be classed in the same grade as Westward. Yet when McGill is defeated by a score which should have been 6-4, it is referred to in the GAZETTE as a "stunning defeat".

I wish to assure you that we at the University are not the only ones who notice this. Many graduates have called my attention to these things, and the reporting, as done, is resented by them. It does not help the GAZETTE, and certainly doesn't help the University.

Yours faithfully,

Principal