

Madam Speaker: I am sorry, I let the Hon. Member go on for a while because I was not sure whether he was discussing matters that had taken place in the House today. However, he is raising a question that relates to a matter that was discussed previously. If he wants to raise those questions and he feels he has a question of privilege concerning them he must give me notice. The little note he sent me did not have the proper delay to it.

I will hear the Hon. Member tomorrow if he feels he has something to bring up.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

WEEKLY STATEMENT

Mr. Lewis: Madam Speaker, I would like to ask the Government House Leader about the business for tomorrow and as much of next week as possible.

Mr. Pinard: Madam Speaker, today we are in Committee of the Whole dealing with Bill C-139, the Income Tax Act. Tomorrow we will resume debate on second reading of Bill C-143, the borrowing authority.

[Translation]

As for next week's business, Madam Speaker, I intend to designate Monday as the sixth opposition day for the present supply period. Next Tuesday, we shall resume debate in Committee of the Whole on Bill C-139, an Act to amend the Statute Law relating to Income Tax (No. 2) and as usual, Wednesday, the House will consider Private Members' Business. Next Thursday, if we do not finish tomorrow, we shall resume debate on second reading of Bill C-143, to authorize the Government to borrow certain sums of money.

Madam Speaker, that was, in brief, the order of business for next week.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

STANDING ORDERS AND PROCEDURE

PRESENTATION OF FIFTH REPORT OF SPECIAL COMMITTEE

Hon. Walter Baker (Nepean-Carleton): Madam Speaker, with the consent of and on behalf of the Hon. Member for Pontiac-Gatineau-Labelle (Mr. Lefebvre), Chairman of the Special Committee on Standing Orders and Procedure, I have the honour to present the fifth report of the Special Committee, in both official languages.

[Editor's Note: For above report, see today's Votes and Proceedings.]

Order Paper Questions

THE ADMINISTRATION

CAPE BRETON COAL AGREEMENT—TABLING OF CORRESPONDENCE

Hon. Jean Chrétien (Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources): Madam Speaker, in response to a letter from the Hon. Member for Calgary Centre (Mr. Andre), and in the spirit of the Access to Information Act, I wish to table the following documents. First, my response to this letter; second, minutes of the January 12, 1981 meeting of the Management Committee of the Canada-Nova Scotia Agreement on Oil Substitution and Conservation, with the permission of Premier Buchanan; third, relevant material from Petro-Canada's correspondence files; and fourth, in addition, I am including correspondence between Mr. Gillespie and Premier Buchanan, with their permission.

One of the documents requested by the Hon. Member for Calgary Centre—the directive of the then Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources of July 9, 1981—does not exist because the directive was given verbally.

* * *

PETITION

MR. FULTON—AMAX OPERATIONS IN BRITISH COLUMBIA

Mr. Jim Fulton (Skeena): Madam Speaker, I have the honour today to table another 2,500 signatures calling for a full public inquiry into the Amax operation in British Columbia. These 2,500 petitioners are responding to a petition that was originally circulated by the Community Forum on Shared Responsibility. This group represents the religious community, primarily the Catholic church, although many of the signatories among the 2,500 who have signed are from across Canada, the majority from Ontario.

I think the tabling of this petition today is particularly timely in that the Ombudsman of British Columbia has added his voice to that of the seven major churches in Canada, the B.C. Medical Association and 50 other major groups across Canada.

* * *

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, the following question will be answered today: No. 4,616.

[Text]

TESTING OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS

Question No. 4,616—**Mr. Mitges:**

Was Canada cited as having fallen far behind the rest of the world in testing 1,500 older prescription drugs, introduced before 1963, which may prove