Adjournment Debate

provincial governments which also have a role to play in the future of the fishing industry. The task force is aware of the many different voices that this encompasses, and its members will do their utmost to make certain that all are heard, and this will be done in a totally businesslike, but somewhat less formal structure than a royal commission.

Members of the task force are actively considering all possible mechanisms of consultation, so that the complete magnitude of the fishery's inherent problems are accurately understood, and that every viable option and alternative for long-term economic health is explored.

One of these mechanisms could take the form of some type of advisory committee with representation from each of the five eastern provinces, as was suggested in the House the other day by the hon. member for South West Nova (Miss Campbell). I want to deal with another of the mistaken impressions of the hon. member for Vancouver South (Mr. Fraser). He has complained to the House with righteous indignation that there is no one on the task force serving as co-chairman to represent fishermen. He based that complaint on his incorrect assumption that Mr. Peter John Nicholson, an economist who recently resigned his position as an executive of a large processing company, is serving as a co-chairman representing the corporate sector. There is no co-chairman of the task force. Furthermore, no one on the task force has been engaged to act as a lobbyist or represent the vested interests of any single group.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier): Order, please. It being 10.23 p.m., the motion to adjourn the House is deemed to have been adopted. Accordingly, this House stands adjourned until eleven o'clock tomorrow morning.

At 10.23 p.m. the House adjourned, without question put, pursuant to Standing Order.