

*Order Paper Questions*

2. Rejected on February 20, 1973.

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.

4. N/A.

5. N/A.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT  
No. H-5900

Question No. 1,366—**Mr. Allard:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-5900?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?

3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?

4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?

5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

**Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. January 9, 1973.

2. Approved on February 5, 1973.

3. One of those projects that best combine the dual objectives of job creation and a realistic and identifiable contribution to community betterment.

4. \$96,968.

5. Eight hundred eighty-four man-weeks.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT  
No. H-1539

Question No. 1,367—**Mr. Allard:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-1539?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?

3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?

4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?

5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

**Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. November 3, 1972.

2. Approved on December 6, 1972.

3. One of those projects that best combine the dual objectives of job creation and a realistic and identifiable contribution to community betterment.

4. \$63,517.

[Mr. MacGuigan.]

5. Five hundred forty-six man-weeks.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT  
No. H-2911

Question No. 1,368—**Mr. Allard:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-2911?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?

3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?

4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?

5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

**Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. November 24, 1972.

2. Approved on January 9, 1973.

3. One of those projects that best combine the dual objectives of job creation and a realistic and identifiable contribution to community betterment.

4. \$28,476.

5. Two hundred forty-eight man-weeks.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT  
No. H-2805

Question No. 1,369—**Mr. Allard:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-2805?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?

3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal by the government to finance it?

4. If the project was accepted, what was the amount granted by the government to finance it?

5. How many jobs were created by the implementation of this project?

**Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** 1. November 23, 1972.

2. Rejected on February 20, 1973.

3. The Department received a far greater number of applications than funds were available for. All applications were carefully assessed and many difficult decisions not to approve had to be made.

4. N/A.

5. N/A.

LIP—APPLICATION FOR GRANT RELATING TO PROJECT  
No. H-2781

Question No. 1,370—**Mr. Allard:**

1. On what date did the government receive an application for a grant under LIP concerning project No. H-2781?

2. On what date was the application either approved or refused?

3. What were the reasons set forth by the government for its refusal or approval of said project?