edar 25¢ to \$300

In How Many Ways Do You Use

CRESCENT MAPLEINE



You, we assume, are using this third standard flavoring in all the ways that you use lemon or vanilla. Now use it for making a superfine syrup. Use it for adding zest to meats sauces, vegetables Use it for adding zest to meats, sauces, vegetables and salads. Use it for turning baked beans into a delicacy. Use it for intensifying the richness of baked and fried apples. Economical. Few drops equal a spoonful of other flavorings. Pure and wholesome. Use it often.

2 oz. bottle, 50c.

Send 4c. in stamps for Mapleine Cook Book. Write Dept. CW.

Crescent Manufacturing Co. Seattle, Wash.





Home Made Bread

is appetizing nutritious and economical. Try this easy recipe, using,

Aluminum Bread Pans

1 quart of water dissolve 2 cakes compressed ast, 2 tablespoons salt and 2 of sugar. Stir in ede flour (about 3 ets.) until a stiff dough is med. Add 2 tablespoons lard and knead dough, ling a little flour from time to time, until ooth and elastic. Cover and set in a warm ce for two hours or until light. Form dough o loaves, place in "WEAR-EVER" Bread Pans I let riseagain until light. Brush lightly with kand place in oven. In Erush lightly with kand place in oven. State of the way through, wear. Ever Bread Pans take the heat quick-and hold it so that your bread will be baked nly and thoroughly all the way through. WEAR-EVER" Bread Pans require no grease, remove breas simply invert the pan.
WEAR-EVER" utensils cannot break, chip or

Replace utensils that wear out with utensils that "Wear-Ever"



ernment has given these provinces under *Prohibition* the power to prohibit its import into their territory. True! But that does not empower the prohibition of its *manufacture* or *import* from *abroad*, but only *its import from other provinces*; and it would necessitate legislation in each of these Prohibition provinces, whereas the one Act of Legislation by the Federal Parliament would cover the whole Dominion, including the Province of Quebec, the Yukon and other North-West Territories yet unorganized. The responsibility rests with the Dominion Government. The United States Congress, a short time ago, passed such a law, by overwhelming majorities in both Houses of Congress, which contains this clause:

"Whoever shall order, purchase, or cause intoxicating liquors to be transported in interstate commerce (except for scientific, mechanical, or medical purposes) into any state or territory prohibiting the manufacture or sale therein for beverage purposes, shall be punished by a fine of nat mora than \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ for the punished} \text{ for the p

for beverage purposes, shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$1,000, or imprisonment for not more than six months, or both; and for any subsequent offence shall be imprisoned for not more than one year."

Now for a few arguments of the liquor advocates.

Now for a few arguments of the liquor advocates.

It is scarcely necessary to mention their one-time strong contention that it helped business, as this War has shown to the world the fallacy of this contention and has completely proved that the reverse is true.

We need refer to but one of the entente nations, viz., Russia, which, at the very outset, put away the liquor which had lost her the war with Japan, though it brought her many millions in license fees; and a year ago her Finance Minister could report that, notwith-standing the cost-of the War, the savings of the nation since the beginning had increased by 2,000,000,000 roubles, or about \$1,030,000,000.

Another favourite argument which prevailed for some time was that alcohol is good as a medicine, but Medical Science is too well informed for that now, and many doctors have uncomfortable memories of drunken death beds of patients for whom they had prescribed the letal "medicines"

of patients for whom they had prescribed the fatal "medicines."

Neither does Medical Science any longer believe the statement that it has any perceptible nourishment; and now the traffic is so hard up for an argument that we are told the brewer produces milk through the refuse of the grain used in the breweries being fed to milch cows. The truth of the matter is that these grains are condemned by the best medical subtarity is condemned by the best medical authority in the production of milk for infants, as involving

the production of milk for infants, as invoving them in grave dangers, and that such grains are forbidden to the farmers who supply milk to Copenhagen, the capital city of Denmark, the world's example in dairy farming.

They are thus reduced to the last extremity, and say we could not enforce Prohibition if we had it. Perhaps not! But is any law on our statute books rigidly observed? Is the law against stealing, or the law against murder, which brings down on the offender the heaviest which brings down on the offender the heaviest punishment for violating?

punishment for violating?

Allow us to quote a few more statistics. In Local Option days we were told that there was more drinking than under license; that it caused illicit selling, and that we had more "blind pigs" as a result of closing the bars; so we consulted the Government returns, and this is what we found:

That the Walker firm, of Walkerville, Ontario, closed down for one year, having enough on hand for all demands for that length of time.

That three breweries in Ontario had been turned into canning factories, and two distilleries were turning out denatured alcohol for running autos since gasolene has gone up in

running autos since gasolene has gone up in price; and another distillery has been turned

price; and another distillery has been turned into a munition factory.

That the five per cent. commission received by one Legislation on the sale of liquor in hotels before Prohibition came into force had fallen off nearly half in two years, from \$366,737 in 1913 to \$219,346 in 1915. The following table shows the violations under license and the number of blind pigs under license and the number of blind pigs under license. ber of blind pigs under license, as compared with those under Local Option:—

Lawbreakers Under License				Under Local Option
Year	Licensed	Unlicensed	Blind Pigs	
1910	404	1396	681	130
1911	363	1062	455	173
1912	242	973	500	157
1913	377	983	348	164
1914	352	715	369	170
	1738	5129	2353	784

From this table we told that there are more violations under License, and more than three times as many blind pigs as under Local Option. The traffic is ever a lawbreaker, as even those who have licenses cannot keep within the bounds of the law, as shown in the above table.

We have been under Provincial Prohibition in

Ontario since the 16th of September, 1916, and in that short time have had abundant evidence of its success and also of the still greater success it might be under Dominion-wide Prohibition. Statistics in Ontario show a decrease in arrests for drunkenness all over the Securing and in the two largest eitiers. Province, and in the two largest cities such arrests number only one-third of what they were during the corresponding period last year. In Alberta the number of arrests for the first three months under no license were less than one-seventh of what they were for the same three months the previous year; and so we might continue the record of uplift through the other provinces.

Yes, we must have Dominion-wide Prohibition,

"For sure as the morning follows The darkest hour of night, No question is ever settled Until it is settled right.



TORONTO

Sammen and Marian and American

