

est in having *Almanac* on its cover; it is true to its name, and in it are the names of three prominent T—— doctors, who praise one of the eight preparations for whose sales the almanac was issued, and is left at every door—even at the door of the doctor, who, with much humiliation, yes, agony and even disgrace, will thank God that he is not as others; but as for himself he will not dishonor himself,—and certainly not his profession,—for there is in him a firm belief that if he does not disgrace medicine, medicine will never disgrace him. Some poet, whose name is unknown, has said:

“Fools’ names as well as faces are often seen in dirty places.”

Brothers, we have plenty of opponents, plenty, too, of men whose names are recorded as fellow Licentiates, who for a mess of pottage have sold, and will sell, their birthright in medicine, and so indifferent are they that they do not see the work—apparent work—and encouragement of those whose efforts are to destroy our standing and usefulness, in order that even greater freedom for proprietary medicine publications be introduced and encouraged. The following is the excerpt:

“That the Ontario Medical Council and other similar organizations are bodies of irresponsible men, was the opinion expressed by members of the Canadian Press Association at their meeting in Toronto last week. A resolution was carried authorizing the Executive to protest to the Provincial authorities against the Medical Council and all other like organizations which seek to become close corporations. The subject was discussed from all sides.”

Fortunately, our Registrar, the Hon. Dr. Pyne, assisted by our President, Dr. Moorhouse, and Vice-President, Dr. Spankie, will act, no doubt, as defenders of our rights and honorable position, and in so doing they will have the support of our most honorable Medical Council, and others, as Licentiates—honest men, who not only medicine but themselves have honored, but now, and will most gloriously honor. No one man can well and faithfully serve two masters, and among us too often is it apparent that such work is being tried, and as a rule Medicine suffers, and are not my few introductory instances or illustrations sufficient proofs of such delusive attempts? If not, brother, let us read your objections, to be published by this journal, and as a consolation:

“O wad some power the giftie gie us
Tae see oursel as ithers see us;
But better still, that ither swells
Wad see us as we see oursel.”