recognized the voice of the hon. member for Hants (Mr. Goudge), and he believed that that gentleman had good reason to be dissatisfied with any proposition to repeal the Insolvent Act. A vote was then taken, and Mr. Wood's motion for the six months' hoist was carried 26. by a vote of 99 to 55. The House adjourned at 12.15 a.m. furthe PARLIAMENTARY COMMITTERS the diffi THE KAMINISTIQUIA JOB. OrTAWA, March 23. -The Sonate Committee of En-quiry into the Kaministiquia hand purchase sat his (Saturday) morning, Senator Girard in the chair. Rogar To Senator Alkins-There were fifty-two owners in the Town Piot; three in lot six, ten in the Town-ship of Neebing, twelve in Parponge, Oliver, and the whom the lots were purchased. To Senator Scott-When I was appointed I was not aware who held hands up there. I never had any none of the members of the firm except Oliver, whom respondence with Mr. Mackenzie or the Govern-ment before I went up, or during the time I was whom the lots were purchased. When I came down, I had a personal interview with whome of the members of the firm except Olivet, whom respondence with Mr. Mackenzie or the Govern-ment before I went up, or during the time I was when I came down, I had a personal interview with Mr. Mackenzie. I delivered my report to him, and tol him the difficulty we had in ætting as to im-provements made since 1875, and affected by the sof opinion that the Act could not be enforced, and there to give his opinion on that. I had he volum-tered it. Mr. Mackenzie did not enter into a dia-tus with respect to the details of the report. I do not think I have spoken to Mr. Mackenzie sing power with respect to the details of the report. The on the subject I spoke to Mr. Trudeau, the period with respect to the details of the report. The on the subject of railway purpose. Bergenter Minister, and I understood that ment before here bore have that the propendent ment before here bore have that the propendent there to give his optice in an affidivit that the imple-ment perior Arxess-Did be seend in an affidivit that the imple-ment perior Arxess-Did here seen in an affidivit that the imple-ment perior Arxess-Did here seen in an affidivit that the imple-ment perior Arxess-Did here seen in an affidivit that the imple-ment perior Arxess-Did here seen in an affidivit that the imple-ment perior Arxess-Did here s THE KAMINISTIQUIA JOB int read estab sugger

done so, and he said he had. Senator AIKINS said the affidavit should be produc. ed. It was not among the papers sent down to the ed. It was not among the papers sent down to the Committee. Senator Scott-Did you express any opinion to Mr. Trudeau as to what your judgment was in reference to the payment of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. I said the nature of the claim for this debt? A. O nay return from of the said? A. O nay return from Ottawa I went up a second time and took the measurement of the entire building and made a calculation, and when I consulted James Durand, of London, a practical builder and valuator for the Fire Insurance Companies, as to his optinon. Senator Scott-Did you show him a view of the hotel? A. No, I described it to him and gave him the measurement, and number of windows, and so forth. Lieut. out of the who was by hin enue, s of the ired to and the the pos-To Senator Aikins-Two rooms were plastered. I To Senator Aikins—Two rooms were plastered. I believe all the studdings in the hotel were up. To Senator Scott—I described the building fairly to Durand, without any exaggeration, and I did not try to make it appear better than it was. Q. Did he tell you what it was worth? A. He said it would cost in London about \$2,500. Q. Did you calculate how much lumber would be used on it ? A. Yes. By Senator AIKINS— Q. How much lumber was there in it ? A. I really forget. Q. How inden itember was shore in it. A. I rearly forget. By Senator VIDAL— Q. Was any painting done in the building? A. I did not make any estimates for the painting. By Senator Scott— Q. In making your calculations, how much did you add to the price in London in order to arrive at the Fort William price? A. I was astisfied that forty or fifty per cent should be added. Q. What led you to that conclusion ? A. The ex-cessive price of labour up there, which was fifty per cent higher in Fort William than in London. By Senator AlkINS ng reso which Cent night in soft within that is in bound. By Senator AixINS-Q. What was the price of labour up there ? A. Carpenters were getting \$3 a day. Q. What were they getting in London ? A. \$1.50. Senator Scorr remarked that that would be 109 per cent. WITXESS-Yes, that is an instance. Q. What was the general price of labour for ordin-ter more remark. A bout \$2,50. hill WITNESS-Yes, that is an instance. Q. What was the general price of labour for ordin-ury workmen? A. About \$2 to \$2.50. Senator Aikins questioned wincess as to the in-formation he gave to Durand, with respect to the

formation he gave to Durant, while respect to the building. Wirxiess said—I did not suppose it was a very accurate estimate that I gave; it was merely to give me some sort of a general idea of the value; we had not settled upon taking the building then. This is the description I have in my notes: "Neebing Hotel, 30 x 30. Extension 70 x 24, building 22 feet high; root and shingling and flooring done up stars; no sashes or windows up stairs. Inside two nooms plastered, boarded, 24 x 15, lathed but not plaster-ed down stairs, stone cellar under the two frontrooms, studdings in about half of house. Shed 50 x 18; bed domble, boarded, no shincles in front of house. n was ripe the liabiliice was that in-To Senator Alkins-I was never in the cellar and I do not know what the height of. it was. I did not tell Durand that the walls were made up of sand and lime. I do not think they were made of sand and lime. I told him is was placed think they were made of sand and lime. I told him is was plastered. By Senator Scorr-Q. How did you arrange for the lots with Oliver, Davidson, & Co.? A. I went to Mr. Oliver's office and told them to make out a list of their lots and in a day or two they put on the number of lots they held and their valuation. Q. Did you accept their valuation ? A. We looked over the list carefully and we reduced their prices a good deal. They disputed some things, but on the whole I think we got our own valuation. The figures then given were not in ex-cess of the prices jiven to other parties. Q. What were the best lots ? A. The fast is everybody thought his lot the best ; but front lots were the best. To Senator Aikins-The lots on lot 6 which, we took from Oliver, Davidson, & Co., were not water lots nor front lots. We took 39 quarter acce lots, and paid from §90 to §140 each ; on an average we paid §100. To Senator Macpherson-We applied to those lots the Act of 1868. If we had not applied the Act the lots would have been worth §250 a half acre. Q. Did you think the land was worth §300 or \$400 an acre before the lots were located there? A. No, i think not. Q. You say that if you had not applied the Act of 1868 the land would have been worth §250 per half acre? A. Yes. Q. And yet you say that you gave on on average to 200, and you applied the Act of 1868? A. Yes. Q. Then how did you arrive at your valuation ? A. They satisfied me that lots were selling then at the rate we gave. Q. You awarded a price that made \$400 an acre ? A. Yes. Q. You awarded a price that made \$400 an acre ? was plas ise last was not s them-H hs' hoist. nto) said t was premember had not to which dollar he fre-He country. s an equit-Ita ad con-though ant who at unde Oliver, Davidson, & Co. s own pice was maximum from them. Senator M «CHERESON—They were lucky people. Q. Did you ascertain if they had any left? A. I think they had very little left. To Senator Vidal—After I handed in the report containing the Neebing Hotel valuation of \$5,029, I had no furthur communication with the Depart-ment. of subse It was a composibut the and no intrinue communication what the report open internation of the communication what the report of the valuation from Mr. Durand? A. Yes.
 Q. With what object in view did you get Mr. Durand's valuation? A. It was in anticipation that still further enquiry would be made about the Neebing Hotel.
 Q. But you made no further report to the Gov-ernment about it? A. No. of the could get nal state could un-Q. But you made no further report to the Gov-ernment about it? A. No. Q. Did you understand when you made that report to the Government that that was the final adjudica-tion on the case? A. No, we expected to hear further about it. Q. Do you consider that the case was ever finally adjudicated upon? A. It seems to have been done. Q. Did you not think, on signing the report, with-out calling the statention of the Government to the law question, that you were taking the entire re-sponsibility? A. No, because we made a distinct note of that point in our report. Senator Scorr read the report of the valuators, in which the question of the applicability of the Act of 1868 to the Neebing Hotel was left open for the decision of the Government. Senator VinAL remarked—That letter seems to me to throw the responsibility on the Government, and who overtain it in of the was much f the law tario, he peal of the odious and al it now Senator VIDAL remarked—That letter seems to me to throw the responsibility on the Government, and the Government seem to have fixed the price with-out any further reference to the valuators. Mr. Scorr — Are you quite sure you did not com-municate the valuation of Mr. Durand to the Gov-ernment? A. I do not think I did. To Senator Aikins—In making our valuation we were guided by the accounts that were presented. Q. Did you take any trouble to verify them? A No. They showed us the accounts, and I said if you get the accounts verified and get them sworn to se to their correctness, we will send them down to the Department. Whole hey ought ce in insol prepared to get all accounts version and get all send them down to the Department.
By Senstor VIDALQ. Were you aware that a very large amount d at that time actually used in the building? A.1 was not.
Q. Take the charge for paint. You say there was not the account which the tiem in the accounts which the item in the account have attracted your attention? A. Widd not look at the account very critically.
Q. And still these accounts very critically.
Q. And still these accounts very or attention? A. Widd not look at the account very critically.
Q. And still these accounts are submitted to the forward of the them oney for that property. You do not then consider that you had any responsibility about the \$6,029 being fixed as the price for the property?
A./Yes, we assume the responsibility. We ware a bridge were the that it was correct.
Q. But you had no evidence? A. We had no think he was entitled to anything unless he could prove he did not knew the land was reserved.
Q. Any on tell me how it was that you were synthering reneose to the material now in this indeal any one of the account were somethal for the second prove head that the account the start form Harry with references to the material now in this indeal any one of the theory of the start form Harry with references to the material now in this indeal any one of the theory of the start form Harry with references to the material now in this indeal any the start of the start o ald be well or a year or vote for that the ot prepared rew) and

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

THE ENGLISH MAIL.

"Baker Pasha" is ordered back to Con-stantinople by his new master, the Sultan, who sets great store upon his services. His friends have been trying, it is rumour-ed, to get Colonel Baker reinstated in the British army, but, says the *Echo*, an illus-trious lady says No.

Haigh ; Treasurer, Jas, Knowles. It is worthy of note that the greater majority of this meeting was composed of Reformers, and that it is, and always has been, a Reform township.

usly adopted endorsing the patients was

The fact of his holding a mortgage on the p makes no difference, but, whether he was professionally or not, he is, being a solicitor, ble to the summary jurisdiction of this Cour The question I have to decide is whethe this state of facts, Mr. Currie should be left roll of solicitors. While he is so, he is accr roll of solicitors.

5

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

THE WEEKLY MAIL TORONTO, FRIDAY, MARCH // 29 1878

miles have already been spoken over in

with reference to the material now in this hoter more you were not so particular in the case of Olivat Davidson & Co. 7. A Henry's hotel was wanted for engineering purposes and we had to have a settlement with him as soon as possible. Oliver's had was not wanted immediately and he said he wedd send affdavits down. He afterwards told me he ho sent affdavits down. The afterwards told me he ho been alleged he was interested in lands up theile He was not interested in lands. The Committee adjourned until Tuesday. y the occu ches, who and brayed

