respectively A, B, C, were drawn by William Banks on his brother C. P. Banks, and formed the consideration for the mortgage; they were negotiated through me; I received the proceeds of them, and I have no doubt they were paid by C. P. Banks in due course; at least they were never returned to me. William Banks remained in this country five or six years after the execution of that mortgage. He was involved. I think he went to England in 1839, and returned subsequently for his wife, but I am not sure of that. I know the handwriting of William Banks and James Sackville. The paper now shown to me marked "E" is signed by those parties respectively. I cannot say that I ever saw that agreement before. The mill spoken of in that agreement is situated on the property in question. The paper now shown to me, marked "F," is a lease from myself to William Banks. It was duly executed by myself and William Banks about the day it bears date. I don't recollect that I knew at that time of the agreement between Banks and Sackville. I knew afterwards that there was some agreement under which Sackville carried on the mill, but I do not think I ever saw the agreement until now. I think it very likely that the rent in the lease from myself to Banks was intended to cover the interest on my two mortgages. The lease was made at Banks' request. He said I had it in my power to turn him off at any time, and wished the lease to secure him the possession for some time certain; the object was to secure him a right to redeem in the event of his being able to pay the debt. The paper now shown to me, marked "G," is William Banks' letter to me, asking for the lease. The paper now shown to me, marked "H," is in my handwriting, and I have no doubt was sent to me by Mr. Banks. I was not acting as Mr. William Banks' agent in paying his debts; I paid those debts no doubt, but I was not an agent to settle his affairs; I think I must have endorsed the notes for him. I have no clear recollection of the debts mentioned in the letter, but I am inclined to think that I had become responsible for the debts in some way or other. I do not know what the Bewdley lot spoken of in my letter means.* It may have been a lot in Hope on which

[•] On the evidence being read over, Mr. Boulton said, "I now recollect there was another lot near the one mentioned he assigned to me; I think it was 30, in the eighth concession of Hamilton. It was a 200 acre lot, but so much of it was under water that it was afterwards sold to me, I think, for 60 acres or thereabouts."