

PAGE		PAGE
196	—The right of, may be exercised (so far as the leasee is concerned) after the expiration of the eight days succeeding the removal of his effects. (Beaudry vs. Rodier, C. C.).....	202
261	—The right of, cannot be affected by the mere taking of collateral security. (Terroux vs. Garrau et al., C. C.).....	203
	— <i>Vide LESSONS AND LESSEES.</i>	
	— <i>REVENDIGATION:—Vide SALE.</i>	
	SALE:— In the case of a, of rags by sample, the purchaser may claim the rescission of the sale, on the ground that the rags delivered were not according to sample, within a reasonable delay after delivery; and the mere reception of the rags at the railway depot where they were delivered, without special examination and comparison with the samples, and the payment of a sum to account on the supposition that all was right, will not operate as a bar to the vendee's repudiating the sale after discovery that the rags were not according to sample. (Buntin, appt., and Hibbard, respdt., Q. B.).....	1
140	—The delivery contemplated by the 12th sec. of the Ins. Act of 1864 is an actual, complete and final one, and, consequently, the delivery of goods to a purchaser's shipping agent in England, for transmission to the purchaser in Canada, and the entering of the goods in bond here, by the purchaser's Customs-house broker, is not such a delivery as will defeat the vendor's remedy, under the 176th and 177th Articles of The Customs of Paris. (Hawksworth et al. vs. Elliott et al., and Brown, assignee, int. party, S. C.).....	192
81	—The acceptance, by a third party or middle man, of a delivery order granted by a vendor in favor of a vendee, for goods to be manufactured by such third party or middle man, and the setting apart these goods by him as subject to vendee's orders, as they are manufactured, is a complete delivery, even though they should be entered in the vendor's name in the books of such third party or middle man. (Broster, appt., and Hall et al., respdts., Q. B.).....	205
102	—The purchaser of an immoveable, having reason to fear eviction or trouble by mortgagees, may retain the interest as well as the capital of his purchase money. (Dorion vs. Hyde et vir, S. C.).....	327
110	SCHOOL LAW:— A payer of School rates in a municipality in L. C., though not resident therein, is an "inhabitant" of such municipality, within the meaning of section 55 of ch. 15 of the Cons. Stat. of L. C. (The School Commissioners of St. Bernard de Lacolle vs. Bowman, S. C.).....	103
201	SECURITY:— A simple, is not liable for costs in an action against the principal debtor, if no previous notice of such action have been served on him. (Dansereau vs. Fontaine dit Bienvenu, C. C.).....	142
	— <i>FOR COSTS:—</i> Cannot be exacted from a person residing in Lower Canada, even supposing that he is not a householder therein, and that he has another domicile out, of Lower Canada. (Ryland vs. Ogilvie, S. C.).....	200
	— <i>Vide APPEAL.</i>	
143	SEDUCTION:— In an action of, the <i>fraie d'entretien</i> can only be legally recovered from the date of service of process, and not from the birth of the child, and in such an action, a condemnation of £500 damages is excessive, in the absence of proof that the seducer is wealthy, and that there were any specially aggravating circumstances attending the seduction. Interest moreover will not be allowed, when not demanded by the declaration. (Coupal, appt., and Bonneau, respdt., Q. B.).....	177
18	SEPARATION DE BIENS:— In an action <i>en</i> , personal service in a district, other than that where the parties reside, will suffice to give jurisdiction. (Harnois vs. St. Jean, Q. B.).....	7