

note - the limit gov. representing Crown - (See element 303. 36. C 37470)

Land is vested in Crown & limit gov. did not represent Crown. how was conveyance of provincial property to be made - no illustration could show how untenable was the statement that limit gov. did not represent the Crown. Sec. 55.58.92 - How new provincial legislature to exercise that jurisdiction of Crown is not part of the legislation. This Sec. is based on the assumption that limit gov. does represent the Crown.

Atty Genl of Br Columbia vs Atty Genl of Canada
point of case. Crown has absolute title to land only tenure has been conveyed away. Br. Columbia agreed to pay 20 miles of territory on each side C.P.R. If Br. Columbia simply conveyed tenure the practice is to reserve the precious metals which are in the ground. Br. Columbia held that conveyance of land thus to mean the same as conveyance between man and man. Supreme Court held in favour of Dominion Govt. The Judicial Committee held that interest in gold & silver still ~~was~~ was in Br. Columbia.