

The Space Problem

The Memorial Student Centre has reached the end of an era. If the familiar student bee-hive is not enlarged, pretty soon there won't even be room for the coffee-cups. The student body has, of course, every reason to be proud of the building, and grateful for the facilities it does provide, but the fact remains, it has become too small to handle the needs of a student population the size of ours. Many will recall the endless arguments of last year regarding our proposed Student Union. One year has passed, and we are still no nearer to a solution of the problem: namely, of providing additional space somewhere on campus for the many and varied activities and organizations that are at present taxing the Student Centre to the limit. Office space in the Student Centre is so limited that every conceivable nook and cranny has been turned into some semblance of an office.

Needless to say, it is much easier to criticize than to offer concrete suggestions. In the future, there will certainly be no fewer meetings, dances et al than there are at the present time. It is the opinion of the Brunswickan, in view of the over-crowding and constant demands on the available space in the Student Centre, that groups and organizations planning to use a certain room or area of the building, should let the "powers that be" know well in advance so as to avoid last minute confusion and conflict. The much debated Student Union Building is still a long way from reality. It's something to look forward to and to work for, but for the present, we gotta do with what we got. In the meantime, am I the only one who's feeling a little hemmed in. . . . ?

Dithering on Gripes

It's about these women drivers downtown. Take my advice, if you and one of them see a parking place at the same time, for Pete's sake, let her have it. These women are homicidal, unmerciful, and drive their cars like Sherman tanks. You don't stand a chance. You're lucky if you escape with the doorhandles still on the car.

And then there's this bright idea of having the Fall Formal so early in the year that most of us have barely managed to get our trunks unpacked. At this rate, we'll have to start coming back in the middle of August just to be on time to get a date for it. It really shakes the coeds up too. They haven't had time enough to get acquainted with the seven or eight guys the ratio allows them — really bad news.

What really gets me, though, are these people who send letters to the Brunswickan and don't sign them — we want constructive criticism, student contributions, etc., but please endorse them even if your name is Hep-sibah Ginklehoople.

J.V. FOOTBALL
Sat. Oct. 12 1:30

Brunswickan



Established in 1867, The Brunswickan is published each Wednesday by and for the students of the University of New Brunswick at Fredericton, N.B. Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of the Students' Representative Council. Subscriptions are available to non-students at \$3.00 a year. Authorized as second class matter, Post Office Department, Ottawa, and paid for in cash.

OFFICE: Memorial Students' Centre

PHONE Granite 5-5191

Russell Irvine
Editor-in-Chief

Bob Cooper
Managing Editor

Charles English
Business Manager

News Editor Derek Hamilton
Features Editor Gary Davis
Sports Editor Dave Clark
Proofs Editor Ian Stoddart
C.U.P. Editor Ann Colwell
Layout Editor John Woolliams
Assistant Bus. Man. Eric Johnston
Advertising Man Muriel Ann Walker
Staff: Tony Dew, Ed. Bell, Harry Bursey, Teda Tracy, Nancy Tanton, Helen Kerr, Sue Green, Nancy Webster, Jennifer Black, Cynthia Clott, Joyce Bradley, Carol Scarborough, Margie Hagerman, James Wallace.

ATTENTION ALL GRADUATES

All graduate photographs and write-ups (under 75 words) must be handed in to the Yearbook office before **November 1st**. Anything handed in after that date will not be accepted.

Letters To The Editor

Dear Sir:

The appointment of the Campus Police Chief on this campus is to the average student, a matter of much concern and mystery. A quick glance through the Constitution shows that the President of the S.R.C. and the Chairman of the S.D.C. select the members of the S.D.C. according to the specifications of Article II (11), the secretary of the S.D.C. being the only member not falling under rigid specifications. Nothing is mentioned in this section about our Campus Police Chief. It is rumoured that the Applications Committee select our Campus P.C., but what are his qualifications and who except the Applications Committee know these qualifications? Lately, it seems to this student, that the qualifications accepted were that he has had previous experience on the C.P. force, he is a reliable person, and he is going into his last year in Forestry.

Certainly, anyone having a personal acquaintance with last year's Campus Police Chief will know that the job required hours and hours of organization, meetings, and oral lashings with few people to thank him for filling a position of great responsibility.

To occupy the position to the greater glory of the S.D.C. is, to put it mildly, ridiculous. The meagre incentive now offered in the form of activity awards and honorariums will not induce, in coming years, the best man for one of the most important and demanding positions on campus.

Mr. Marshall, up until now, has not had a chance to show his talents as Campus Police Chief, however in the next months, his actions will bear close attention by the student body — a high wire few people would enjoy walking. Mr. Marshall's friends express strong confidence in his ability to accomplish his task of following the tradition of past Campus Police Chiefs. Let us see the S.R.C. do something concrete for once, to assure that only the highest calibre man acquire this position, and maintain the high standard set last year and hopefully this year.

Ian Stoddart

The Editor
The Brunswickan

Dear Sir:

We would like to take exception with the remarks on the Model Parliament in an article entitled "The Good Word" in last week's Brunswickan.

It attributes the sad condition of Model Parliament to a clause in the Model Parliament Constitution requiring a petition signed by 600 students to admit a club without na-

tional political affiliation to Model Parliament. It claims that the resulting exclusion of such other clubs is the cause of a poor Model Parliament.

We quite agree that last year's Model Parliament went to pot. However, we would like to point out that the major cause of this was the sloppy preparations made for last year's Model Parliament that were the responsibility of the chairman of the Model Parliament Committee. These preparations were so ill-attended to that the actual session had to be postponed to a date which conflicted with the Senior Class Party, and on which many other participants were unable to attend for a number of other reasons. Significantly, the chairmanship of the Model Parliament, which by tradition rotates annually among the participating clubs, was in the hands of a non-affiliated club. In other years, when the affiliated clubs have had the task of leading the organization of Model Parliament, no such fiasco resulted.

We would suggest that this is because the affiliated clubs take Model Parliament seriously, which non-affiliated clubs as a rule do not. Affiliated clubs also take a genuine interest and do not just participate for the "kicks" as evidenced by the fact that when Model Parliament was finally held last year (when the "kicks" were elsewhere), the affiliated clubs at least endeavoured to fill their seats while the non-affiliated club from the very first had no more than 3 of its 12 seats occupied.

We would also like to point out that the petition requirement was introduced only two years ago to prevent recurrence of the disgraceful action (which included the theft of the ballot boxes and almost forced cancellation of Model Parliament), on the part of a small minority in trying to force their admittance to Model Parliament. They had been excluded by the Model Parliament Committee because they attempted to enter Model Parliament only at the last minute, and had taken no part in the planning which must go into every Model Parliament.

With the Model Parliament Committee again under the chairmanship of an affiliated club this year, we are sure every effort will be made to insure that a successful Model Parliament will result.

Yours very truly,
Peter Roberts
Liberal Club

Robert Kerr
Progressive
Conservative
Club

P.S. We hope this makes

Hotspot

Isn't it time we stopped playing follow-the-leader and did a bit of thinking for ourselves? Our complacency and desire to suppress our own individuality is an extremely dangerous tendency. We press too much for security. There is the feeling today, that if everyone does not love you, you are a failure.

Examples of this are only too evident. Very few people "have the time" to write to this paper. What they mean to say is they don't have the guts. What will mother say or the guy down the hall? If I say anything I'll probably get kicked out of the university. . . . Supposing no one agrees with me? . . . Well, who gives a DAMN? What does it matter? If you have something to say, for the love of Pete, SAY IT. It's your world and your university.

Notice how many hep expressions everyone uses? Aren't they picturesque? They really mean a lot don't they? For example: "I couldn't care less", "Don't do anything I wouldn't do", "Let's split this scene", "Past my bedtime", "Be good", "I need it like a hole in the head", "Little Girls Room", "posalutely", "absitively", "anyhoo", "Bass ackwards", "the whole fam damily", "d r i n k y - p o o", "ciggie-hoo", to name just a few of these ridiculous abortions. Recognize any of them? They're yours. Just don't use them when I'm around.

One final example: Giving blood is very noble, providing the individual does it of his own volition. To some people, blood is very personal property. We have no right whatsoever to in any way coerce them into giving just to win a tinny little trophy. The end does not justify means. The sacrifice of one person's individuality, and the permanent hard feelings that result, are not worth it.

I do not advocate that any person not give blood just to stand alone. Sharing your blood is one of the most important services which a person can give for obvious reasons. The point is, a trophy, which everyone wants to win, should not be the reason for a person to give blood.

Security in a crowd. What a false statement. Not being used to standing on your own two feet, how can you possibly call yourself a member of the human race? Security in a crowd. Let's not think for ourselves. Let's not make any progress. Isn't it fun to be secure kiddies?

some people very mad, because when they're mad, they're interested, and there is no better way to insure a successful Model Parliament.