

Rule confessed by Bishop Marsh to be the Original Rule—Proofs that it has never been abrogated—Advantages of this Rule to the Church at large, and to its individual members, page 62.

LET. XII.—Objections answered.—Texts of Scripture.—Other Objections.—Illusory declamation of Bishop Porteus.—The advice of Tobias, when he sent his Son into a strange country, recommended to the Society of New Cottage, page 77.

LET. XIII.—Canon of the Scriptures.—The Rev. Mr. Grier states the chief arguments of the writer, and confines his disposition to a few points of minor importance.—Enlarged statement of questions relating to the Scriptures.—Inconsistent analysis of the Vicar's Canon of them.—A distinction of Traditions without a difference.—The only means of ascertaining Scripture.—Different errors of the Vicar concerning them.—Learned Protestants derive the character of Scripture from Tradition.—Misrepresentation and falsification of Hooker (also of Dr. Lardner, Chillingworth, and Wake, opposed to the Vicar) also to the Articles.—The pretended Vicar's Circle, page 87.

LET. XIV.—Versions of the English Bible.—The Vicar gives up the Inspiration of the Scriptures—His abuse of the present writer—His equal commendations of the two different Versions.—Dr. Hyan's comparative candour.—Infidelity of Tyndal's Version.—Sir Thomas More's character of it.—Character of Coverdale.—The Vicar's curious vindication of the latter's Translation.—His unbounded abuse of Martin and Ward.—Protestant complaints of the Old Bible.—Judgment of James I. concerning it.—False Translations of the present Bible.—Comparison between the Vicar's justification of this version with that of Luther in defence of his, page 96.

LET. XV.—Variations of the Bible from its Originals.—Fresh instances of the Vicar's attempt to reconcile the two parts of a disjunctive proposition.—The originals of the new translation of the Bible.—Departure of the Bible from the Hebrew Original.—The Vicar first defends the latter and afterwards the former.—His singular reasoning on both heads.—The Testament departs from the Greek Manuscripts.—The Vicar justifies this, and at the same time misrepresents the present writer.—His forced acknowledgment that the Latin Vulgate of the Testament is purer than the existing Greek originals; and, by inference, that Tradition is more to be relied upon than written or printed copies of the word of God.—Incidental controversy concerning the religious principles of the late Bishop Watson, page 107.

PART II.

LET. XVI.—Congratulation with the Society of New Cottage on their acknowledgment of the right Rule of Faith.—Proof that the Catholic Church alone is possessed of this Rule—Characters or Marks of the True Church, page 117.

LET. XVII.—*Unity*, the first Mark of the true Church.—This proved from Reason, from Scripture, and from the Holy Fathers, page 122.

LET. XVIII.—Want of Unity among Protestants in general.—This acknowledged by their eminent writers.—Striking instances of it in the Established Church.—Vain attempts to reconcile diversity of belief with uniform Articles, page 123.

LET. XIX.—Unity of the Catholic Church, in Doctrine, in Liturgy, in Government, and Constitution, page 129.

LET. XX.—Objections against the exclusive claims of Catholics.—Extract of a Letter from the Rev. N. N. Prebendary of N.—Bishop Watson's doctrine on this head, page 132.