

another, Mr. Le Bouthillier. I discontinued the fight because the exercise of my professorship was not compatible with the accomplishment of my parliamentary duties if elected.

I have always been a friend to Mr. Harper, who was a candidate as well as election agent.

On Mr. Harper's side thousands of dollars were spent. In the contest before the courts Mr. Le Bouthillier did not allege corruption as a sufficient cause of the invalidation of Mr. Harper's election, but simply that Mr. Harper was both candidate and election agent. I have here the injurious article and the reply thereto. The Leader of the Opposition knew, when he quoted the *Canadien*, that this paper was then opposed to me. Why did he not say so?

During the course of the trial of the case it was seen that the inquiry could not be proceeded with because the document known as the bill of particulars had not been filed. If you read the proof, you will at once see the truth of what I state. Besides, there is nothing in all this to incriminate me anyway. The judge, later on, recognized the fact that he had made a mistake, and that according to law he could not make a report.

I furnished Mr. LeBouthillier with an account of the moneys which he had confided to me and with which he declared himself satisfied. I was not paid as has been intimated. It has even been said that I took \$200! Mr. Horace LeBouthillier, who since followed me, gave splendid testimony in my behalf. What I am about to read is an extract from a magnificent speech delivered on March 17th last at Douglastown, and which well deserve publication. He said:—

"Mr. chairman and gentlemen,—When Mr. Flynn was first spoken of as a candidate for Parliament, though of acknowledged ability, but still a mere boy, I may say as regards age, I should certainly not have selected him; and, the reason why? because he was without experience. This