THE SENATE OF CANADA.

BILL F3.

An Act for the relief of Charles Albert Flower.

WHEREAS Charles Albert Flower, of the city of Win-Preamble.
nipeg, in the province of Manitoba, financial agent,
has by his petition alleged, in effect, that on the twentysecond day of April, A.D. 1895, at the town of Birtle in
the said province, he was lawfully married to Violet Ruth
5 Beatrice Kealy; that she was then of the said town, a spinster; that his legal domicile was then and is now in Canada;

that at the said city of Winnipeg, at divers times in or about the month of May, A.D. 1912, and more particularly on or about the twenty-fourth day of May, A.D. 10 1912, she committed adultery with one Murray G. Doyle;

10 1912, she committed adultery with one Murray G. Doyle; that he has not connived at nor condoned the said adultery; that there has been no collusion, directly or indirectly, between him and her in the proceedings for divorce; and whereas by his petition he has prayed for the passing of an

15 Act dissolving his said marriage, authorizing him to marry again, and affording him such other relief as is deemed meet; and whereas the said allegations have been proved, and it is expedient that the prayer of his petition be granted: Therefore His Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of 20 the Senate and House of Commons of Canada, enacts as follows:—

1. The said marriage between Charles Albert Flower Marriage and Violet Ruth Beatrice Kealy, his wife, is hereby dis-dissolved, solved, and shall be henceforth null and void to all intents 25 and purposes whatsoever.

2. The said Charles Albert Flower may at any time Right to hereafter marry any woman he might lawfully marry if marry again. the said marriage with the said Violet Ruth Beatrice Kealy had not been solemnized.