

no inequality shall exist, that it is possible for human interference to remove.

Is it not the mission of the English speaking people, all the World over, to struggle and strive, and, by the application of those same principles of liberty, which they have already embodied in so many more or less successful constitutions, to achieve this glorious consummation, and will this not be best done by effort and experiment along different lines, rather than in one direction, and by many communities rather than by one?

Looking at the question as a Canadian living in the States, as an alien in law, yet feeling in perfect sympathy with the land of one's adoption, such a Canadian feels that it would be better for the two great communities which divide the continent, to live in closest commercial and social intercourse, but in separate houses. Canada has her own domestic troubles, and the United States has hers. To take typical examples: The greatest trouble pending over Canada is the reconciliation of the English and the French races, involving Protestant and Catholic antagonism. This trouble would probably be most summarily settled by annexation, but if I were a citizen of the United States, I would consider it as a serious bar to that step. The homogeneity of the French Catholic party would not be destroyed by annexation.

and
has
Fren
a F
uned
with
Que

read
antag
and
conc
unfli
and
come
mark
alrea
impo
espec
and
and
carr
and
to