closely related to History, on its philological side to the other language subjects. There of course, we reason sty groups should be story within

(b) For each group there should be a board composed of all the members of the departments within the group that are concerned with graduate work. The present system of associates is expansion and should be abolished; if a man is considered competent to direct graduate students' work, he should be given In addition a voice in the management of graduate studies. each board should be allowed and encouraged to add members of other departments to their number if they have some special knowledge that would prove valuable to the board: e.g. the group including geology might well desire the professor of metallurgy, while that including History should certainly have a representative from In this way, in each board you the Faculty of Law. would have a group of men dealing in related subjects, which had, in a general way, the same needs in graduate study, a similar They would be able to technique and similar standards. offer intelligent general criticism of the subjects they had to consider, and to judge fairly adequately the standards maintained.

(c) What would be their functions? To them would be presented for approval the academic record of the proposed graduate student, the subject in which he intends to research, and the courses which he suggests he shall take. They would have power to reject him as being, on his past record, unlikely to attain a satisfactory standard of graduate work — here much more should be insisted on than is sometimes the case at McGill.; the insistence that the student must have a really good record in the subject in which he proposes to do his graduate work, would do a good deal to raise the value of its degrees in the eyes of other universities.

The board might reject or suggest modifications in his proposed

A STATE