

Article XI by any country, that they came out with this is a big step”.

If the Dairy Farmers of Canada think it is a big step, why does the hon. gentleman not accept the fact that it is a big step.

Canadian Federation of Agriculture President, Don Knoerr, said that our proposal is close to the position worked out at its annual meeting and that it offers a good balance between domestic and export interest groups.

The dairy farmers of Quebec are taking the same position, so I think we can say there is just about unanimous approval.

Mr. Maurice Foster (Algoma): Mr. Speaker, you will note that the Minister for International Trade did not respond to my question.

Richard Doyle and the 40,000 dairy farmers he represents have recommended to the government that the volumes of imports be limited on a value basis to 5 per cent or on a volume basis to 3 per cent of the Canadian dairy industry.

Is the minister willing to make that the bottom line, or is the government going to sell out the farmers of Canada? That is all I want to know.

Hon. John C. Crosbie (Minister for International Trade): Mr. Speaker, the hon. gentleman has just lately come to an intense interest in this issue. He did not want Canada to put a proposal forward on Article XI at all until there was a GATT panel decision that he did not like.

They do not like the GATT when there is a panel decision they do not like. They do not like the U.S.-Canada Free Trade Agreement, and now he apparently does not like our presentation on Article XI. Everybody else likes it.

The hon. gentleman will have to wait until negotiations are completed. We will only agree to what is in the best interest of our supply management system and Canadian agriculture generally.

* * *

CURRENCY

Mr. Peter L. McCreath (South Shore): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Minister of State for Finance.

Oral Questions

Nova Scotians were honoured a few years ago when the beautiful beach at Lockeport graced our \$50 bill. When we found it was not going to happen we were disappointed, but we felt we were moving up in the world when they put the historic town of Lunenburg on the \$100 bill.

Now we are told that that is to be no more. It seems our goose is cooked, because they are going to put the Canada goose on the \$100 bill. All Nova Scotians are outraged.

Given that the geese will not be offended if they are not on the bill but Nova Scotians will, given that the geese do not vote in Canadian elections but Lunenburgers do—

Mr. Speaker: Perhaps the minister would like to respond quickly.

Hon. Gilles Loiselle (Minister of State (Finance)): Mr. Speaker, I should like to tell the hon. member that the Bank of Canada did not have any intention of reflecting negatively on the good people of Lunenburg. However, in 1986 the Bank of Canada indicated that it wanted to change the design and go to a more simple design for two reasons, basically.

The first is for new security features against counterfeiting, and the second is to assist the blind and the visually impaired to distinguish among denominations. These are the reasons for the changes.

* * *

TRANSPORTATION

Hon. Chas. L. Caccia (Davenport): Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the Minister of Transport.

As he knows another day has gone by since the Federal Court quashed the licence to build a dam on the Oldman River. To make things worse, the Government of Alberta maintains that it does not intend to stop the work on the dam on the Oldman River.

Could the minister give assurance to the House that he intends to proceed and inform the Government of Alberta that all the work on the dam on the Oldman River must be stopped, using the powers he has under the Navigable Waters Protections Act?

Mr. Lee Clark (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of the Environment): Mr. Speaker, as all members of the House know, the decision with respect to the Oldman River if it is upheld in the court, should it be appealed—