

Point of Order—Mr. Waddell

since it will be up against the wealthiest of wealthy institutions and the banks themselves will have done a considerable amount of homework in preparation for their appearances before the committee. I think it only fair that members of Parliament be given a similar kind of research assistance.

Therefore, will the government House leader consider meeting to discuss this matter further in order that we can get something clarified, or appointing a committee if need be in order to set up the terms of reference, or proposing some terms of reference himself so that we might discuss them in the House of Commons?

Hon. Yvon Pinard (President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, I certainly do not object to a meeting to discuss the terms of reference. The intent of this motion is to have the subject of the chartered banks' profits referred to the appropriate committee. We do not intend to put a motion on the Order Paper that would require debate at this time. I am much more interested in coming to an agreement with the opposition parties on the terms of reference of an appropriate motion.

Therefore, I have no objection, provided we have unanimous consent today, to having this motion stood instead of being referred to government orders. In the meantime, I will speak to my colleagues, the other House leaders, and try to come to some agreement on the terms of reference.

Hon. Erik Nielsen (Yukon): Madam Speaker, since the motion as it now stands on the Order Paper, if I read it correctly, would allow the broadest possible inquiry into interest rate policies and interest rates in the country and is in keeping with the urgings that have been made from time to time by the hon. member for Etobicoke Centre (Mr. Wilson), we see no reason why this kind of inquiry would not be most desirable indeed. In fact, it should be very beneficial in assisting the government to find its way out of the economic mess into which it has led the country. We would be prepared to consent to such a motion. Such an inquiry is long overdue.

* * *

NATIONAL HARBOURS BOARD

AWARDING OF CONTRACT TO JAPANESE FIRM

Mr. Ian Waddell (Vancouver-Kingsway): Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order. During the question period, the Minister of Transport (Mr. Pepin) suggested to the Leader of the Opposition (Mr. Clark) that if members were to ask details about the Mitsubishi deal and Canadian companies he would provide the documents. He implied that no one had asked for details. I want to point out that last week the hon. member for Skeena (Mr. Fulton) and I sent a letter to the minister asking those questions precisely. I will deliver the letter personally to him.

Madam Speaker: Order, please. The hon. member is debating instead of rising on a point of order. He knows very well that he should not use points of order to continue to debate a question. Unfortunately, the Chair has to wait for a few moments in order to determine what the hon. member is trying to put before the House. However, I would urge the hon. member, who knows exactly what he wants to do, to refrain from continuing debate on a matter arising out of the question period under the guise of a point of order.

* * *

OFFICIAL REPORT

Mr. Gordon Taylor (Bow River): Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order which involves the censuring of *Hansard*. As reported on page 15140 of *Hansard* for February 18, 1982, the Minister of Agriculture (Mr. Whelan) said:

—I know from which end of the bull his statement comes from, too.

I interjected by saying "At least it is not all over my face!" My statement was omitted from *Hansard*, while the minister's statement remained. Has the minister used his influence to have my interjection left out? What I want to know is, if it was not "you know what" all over his face, what was it? It certainly wasn't mustard.

● (1510)

Madam Speaker: The hon. member has made his representations. If those words were uttered in the House and not recorded in *Hansard*, it is obviously because the reporters on the floor did not catch that interjection. There is not much that I or anyone else can do about that. I guess it is in the record now, which I suppose satisfies the hon. member.

Mr. Taylor: Madam Speaker, when the blues came down it was certainly placed in there and returned immediately to *Hansard*. I therefore wonder why it was not published along with the rest.

Madam Speaker: I will inquire into that. If the hon. member is saying that he added it into the blues, well, that is a different matter. What I was just saying to the hon. member is that obviously the reporters did not catch that interjection, therefore they did their duty as best they could. However, the hon. member made his point.

* * *

[Translation]

AIR SAFETY

TABLING OF VOLUME III OF REPORT OF INQUIRY INTO AIR SAFETY

Hon. Jean-Luc Pepin (Minister of Transport): Madam Speaker, I wish to table in the House, in French and in English, Volume III of the Report of the Commission of Enquiry on Aviation Safety, also known as the Dubin report.