

2. Applications are made by the applicant air carrier and are processed in accordance with the general rules of the Canadian Transport Commission, including public notice and an opportunity for air carriers or other interested parties to intervene in support or in opposition and for the applicant to reply to such interventions. The Commission may, at its option, hold a hearing in the light of the application and materials before it. The granting of a licence is governed by subsection 16(3) of the Aeronautics Act: The Commission shall not issue any such licence unless it is satisfied that the proposed commercial air service is and will be required by the present and future public convenience and necessity.

**\*RABIES COMPENSATION—ONTARIO AND QUEBEC**

**Question No. 2,684—Mr. Laprise:**

1. How long has the agreement on compensation to owners of animals that have died as a result of rabies existed between the government and that of the (a) Province of Quebec (b) Province of Ontario?

2. How much has the government paid annually to each province for each category of animals included under the programme?

3. In what manner are carcasses of animals disposed of which have been ordered slaughtered with compensation to be made on direction of government inspectors or veterinarians?

**Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, the answer to the Part One of the question is (a) January 31, 1963; (b) July 1, 1960. Mr. Speaker, with the approval of the House and Your Honour I would ask that, because of the number of payments involved, the answer to Part Two of the question be taken as read and inserted in the answer at this stage.

**Mr. Speaker:** Is it agreed?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

[*Editor's Note: The answer to Part 2 follows*]

**Mr. Reid:** 2. Records of payments are not maintained by category of animals, however, the annual expenditure by province is as follows.

	Ontario	Quebec
1960-61	\$ 7,788	
1961-62	12,814	
1962-63	20,447	
1963-64	19,657	\$13,468
1964-65	12,956	6,033
1965-66	37,805	9,327
1966-67	28,797	4,307
1967-68	17,197	5,230
1968-69	49,991	4,944
1969-70	41,505	7,900
1970-71	39,339	3,753
1971-72	35,563	4,465
1972-73	47,406	9,281
1973-74	44,015	10,891
1974-75	63,644	14,771

The answer to Part 3 of the question is that instructions are issued to dispose of the carcass by burial.

*Order Paper Questions*

**FLOOD DAMAGE**

**Question No. 2,801—Mr. Howie:**

Is the government considering the employment of military engineers in the study and control of flooding in areas that frequently suffer flood damage?

**Mr. Len Marchand (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of the Environment):** No.

**PSC COMPETITION 75-4400-1(18)**

**Question No. 2,807—Mr. Herbert:**

With reference to competition 75-4400-1(18) of the Public Service Commission (a) how many positions were vacant when the competition was announced (b) how many applications were received (c) have all positions been filled (d) is the competition still open?

**Hon. Hugh James Faulkner (Secretary of State):** I am informed by the Public Service Commission as follows: (a) There were 14 positions vacant when the competition was announced on March 26, 1975. As of June 23, there are 40 additional vacancies. (b) 800 applications have been received. (c) To date, of the total 54 vacancies, 24 have been filled. (d) This competition is open until December 31, 1975.

\* \* \*

**QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN**

**\*DREDGING TENDERS**

**Question No. 1,808—Mr. Forrestall:**

1. In each of the past five years, how many public tender calls were made for dredging in Canada?

2. In each such case (a) who was the successful tenderer and for what amount (b) what other tender bids were received (i) from whom (ii) for what amount?

3. In each of the past five years, how many contracts were awarded without tender call for dredging contracts in Canada?

4. In each such case (a) for what amount (b) for what reasons were tenders not called?

5. What are the names and addresses of companies in Canada listed with the Department of Supply and Services or any other department who have expressed their desire to tender for dredging and/or related maritime work for the government?

6. In each such case, is the government satisfied that there is not corporate relationship between the competing tenderers?

Return tabled.

**Mr. O'Sullivan:** Mr. Speaker, with regard to starred questions Nos. 1738 and 1739 I should like to thank the government House leader, after four and a half months of waiting and after a representation having been made to him last week, for making sure I received a reply. I also note that these questions are starred questions, and we have to settle for a tabled return when, as a matter of courtesy and because of their importance, they should at least have been appended to *Hansard*. However, I can understand the government wanting to continue the cover up in this matter.