

mission on the Status of Women, that family planning be instituted across Canada. I believe this program will make that a possibility.

• (2:20 p.m.)

[Translation]

**Mr. Gilbert Rondeau (Shefford):** Mr. Speaker, I would ask you to be patient enough to listen to the Creditistes who have things to say which are quite different from what the minister and the two hon. members who spoke before me had to say.

I wish to thank the minister for having distributed his statement in advance, a gesture which allowed us to study it from the first line down to the last.

I find the minister's attitude in the House—and that goes for the two members who spoke before me, too—simply scandalous. In my view, the Minister of National Health and Welfare (Mr. Munro) should promote the family ideal in Canada. However, when I look closely at the minister's statement, I see that while he appears to be promoting family planning, he is actually favouring the destruction of the family.

In the circumstances, the Minister of National Health and Welfare, who is responsible for the Canadian family, should resign because instead of being in favour of the Canadian family he is against, as he has just most explicitly demonstrated.

The minister should, in this House, promote the respect for and the beauty of the Canadian family. But the statement that he has just made proves that he wishes to subject the Canadian family to the requirements of finance, because the financial system does not allow Canadian families to flourish, grow and be comprised of more than two children, as the hon. member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mrs. MacInnis) has just claimed. Although I could not have children, being of the male sex, I am still a father of seven, and quite happy with my lot.

**Some hon. Members:** Shame!

**Mr. Rondeau:** I fail to understand how some hon. members, and the three who have spoken before me, can advocate the destruction of the Canadian family instead of its promotion. They may be influenced by the believers in the myth that the world is over-populated.

When people mention human pollution or over-population, I rather feel that the pollution exists in their own minds. It is a shame to hear them talking about over-population when Canada, a young country with only 22 million people, can feed and help develop and prosper over 100 million citizens.

Mr. Speaker, I can neither understand nor approve the attitude and the way of thinking of the hon. members who accept the position and the statement of the Minister of National Health and Welfare.

The minister has also announced that, under the new law, it will be legal to advertise contraceptives anywhere in Canada.

He also announced that the Family Planning Federation of Canada will henceforth be recognized by the

#### *Family Planning Program*

Department of National Revenue as a charitable organization so that contributions to it will be tax deductible.

I am convinced that if the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) does not transfer him before long, the Minister of National Health and Welfare will come again before the House to announce a monthly bonus for each child that a mother will not have raised. Thus, the latter will be better rewarded than a mother who will have raised children.

Thirty-five years ago, the Department of Agriculture paid \$2 to farmers of both eastern and western Canada not to raise any hogs, and at that time farmers did their best not to produce any. Some of them were resourceful enough not to raise 2,000 hogs.

I am therefore wondering whether the minister will not include in the legislation provision for an allowance to be paid by his department to mothers who use contraceptives.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. I feel I must remind the hon. member and, indirectly, other members participating in the debate, that according to the Standing Order of the House comments of party representatives after a minister's statement should be brief.

**Mr. Rondeau:** Mr. Speaker, I am taking your kind remarks into consideration and I must say that I was about to close. As I said before, I am shocked and surprised to see that in the House charity has become selfishness and selfishness charity. The Department of National Health and Welfare, according to the minister's statement, spent \$90,000 last year to promote the destruction of the family. For this year, he has just stated that the department he heads will be spending a further \$100,000, not to promote the family, as indicated in the document he has tabled, but to promote its destruction, to the prejudice of our beautiful country.

\* \* \*

[English]

#### **GRAIN**

TABLING OF INTERNATIONAL WHEAT AGREEMENT, 1971

**Hon. Otto E. Lang (Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Standing Order 41(2) I should like to table in both official languages copies of the International Wheat Agreement, 1971.

\* \* \*

#### **CANADA-FINLAND SUPPLEMENTARY INCOME TAX CONVENTION ACT, 1971**

MEASURE TO APPROVE SUPPLEMENTARY CONVENTION

**Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (for Minister of Finance)** moved the first reading of Bill S-18 (from the Senate), to implement a supplementary income tax convention between Canada and Finland.

Motion agreed to and bill read the first time.