

Inquiries of the Ministry

about the appeal made on Saturday and Sunday near the base of La Macaza against the storing of nuclear arms?

[Text]

Mr. Speaker: It seems to me that this question requires a little research. Would the hon. member put it on the order paper.

QUEBEC—ADMISSION FEE TO WATCH CHANGING
OF GUARD

On the orders of the day:

[Translation]

Mr. Gilles Grégoire (Lapointe): Mr. Speaker, I should like to direct a question to the Associate Minister of National Defence. Could he tell the house whether in some places in Canada army officials require an admission fee from spectators in order to attend the daily changing of the guard?

Hon. Lucien Cardin (Associate Minister of National Defence): Not to my knowledge, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Grégoire: A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. Could the Associate Minister of National Defence take some action so that the senior army officers at the Quebec citadel abolish the amount of 75 cents which is required from every tourist who wants to see the changing of the guard?

Mr. Cardin: Mr. Speaker, I shall check whether this statement is accurate.

[Text]

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON REORGANIZATION
OF MILITIA

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Frank Howard (Skeena): Mr. Speaker, I too should like to direct a question to the Associate Minister of National Defence. Ten days ago he told the house that one part or the first part of the Suttie committee report would perhaps be available within a week. I wonder whether he can now tell us if that report is available.

Hon. Lucien Cardin (Associate Minister of National Defence): Yes, Mr. Speaker, the first part of the Suttie commission report has been made available. I am now studying it. The second part will be made available toward the end of the month, I think. However, before making it public and before sending it to the special committee on defence it would be preferable to have both reports made public simultaneously.

[Mr. Laprise.]

CANADIAN BROADCASTING
CORPORATION

REQUEST FOR SHOWING OF FILM RESPECTING
PRIME MINISTER

On the orders of the day:

Mr. D. M. Fisher (Port Arthur): Mr. Speaker, I want to ask the Secretary of State whether he has yet had a reply from the C.B.C. as to any reconsideration it is giving either to showing the controversial film called "Mr. Pearson" or releasing it to other agencies who will demonstrate it to a national audience.

Hon. Maurice Lamontagne (Secretary of State): No, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. R. Gordon L. Fairweather (Royal): Mr. Speaker, last Thursday the Secretary of State told me he would answer today my question about which members of the privy council saw the restricted film; on how many occasions the Prime Minister saw it; why the C.B.C. did not broadcast the film; and whether members of parliament will have a chance to see the film. May I now have an answer, please?

Mr. Lamontagne: Mr. Speaker, this is exactly the same question as that asked by the hon. member for Port Arthur. I have not yet received an answer from the C.B.C. When I do receive it I will be very glad to give that answer to the House of Commons.

Mr. Fisher: A supplementary question. I should like to ask the minister whether the question put by the hon. member and other questions which have been put could not be answered to a considerable extent without resort to the C.B.C., since they involve his associates in the cabinet. We have never had a clear answer to that, and the Secretary of State does not need to go to the C.B.C. to get it.

SUGAR

REPORTED LOWEST PRICE SINCE JANUARY, 1963

On the orders of the day:

[Translation]

Mr. Albert Béchard (Bonaventure): Mr. Speaker, I should like to put a question to the Minister of Trade and Commerce. Could he tell the house whether he read a report published in *L'Action* on June 13, 1964, to the effect that the price of sugar is at its lowest level since January 24, 1963?