## TABLE A.3.2

## Cost of Control Technologies for SO<sub>2</sub> Reduction for Representative 500 MW Coal-fired Thermal Power Plants

	LOW SULFUR COAL <sup>b</sup>			HIGH SULFUR COALC		
SO2 CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	CAPITAL COST \$/kW	LEVELIZED COST mills/kWh	COST EFFECTIVENESS \$/tonne SO <sub>2</sub> removed	CAPITAL COST \$/kW	LEVELIZED COST mills/kWh	COST EFFECTIVENESS \$/tonne SO <sub>2</sub> removed
Wet FGD <sup>a</sup> - Limestone - Lime - Dual Alkali - Wellman Lord	176 162 181 235	10.7 11.3 11.2 13.6	3806 4026 4004 4862	244 224 251 326	16.4 17.4 17.1 20.9	840 880 880 1100
Dry FGD <sup>a</sup> - Lime - Sodium Physical Coal Cleaning <sup>d</sup>	154 158 -	9.4 10.2 -	3344 3630 -	200 -	17.1	880 - 790

a) The source of the costs quoted are reports EPA-600/7-81-014 and EPA-600/9-81-019a. These are hypothetical costs derived from a computer model generated by Tennessee Valley Authority, for a particular set of assumptions, viz. 500 MW unit, located in the U.S. upper mid-western states, burning coal, operating for 5500 hours per year, for 30 years. The capital and operating costs for particulate matter collection are included in the SO<sub>2</sub> reduction costs. It is further assumed that the capital costs are in 1982 dollars, and the revenue is in 1984 dollars. Actual historical cost data are available in EPA-600/7-81-012a Tables Al and A2 "EPA Utility FGD Survey", Jan. 1981.

b) Low sulfur western coal, 9 700 Btu/1b. 0.7% Sulfur (dry basis); 70% SO<sub>2</sub> removal.

c) High sulfur eastern coal, 11 7.00 Btu/lb. 3.5% Sulfur (dry basis); 90% SO2 removal for FGD processes.

d) Source: EPA-600/7-81-086; 28% SO<sub>2</sub> removal.