raised, and indeed has been raised, that the style of play in the two leagues is so different as to make these games unsatisfactory. The Inter-Collegiate Union was formed in the first place to procure good clean hockey. The question arises: Is it well for a college team to take part in games, the precedents of which, to say the least, do not promise strictly clean hockey? On the other hand, it is justly argued that as Inter-Collegiate hockey has succeeded in its purpose, the greatest value of that success will be only obtained by at least occasional games with teams of other leagues. There is the further advantage of testing Inter-Collegiate hockey by that played in other leagues.

So here's success to our team. And whether we win or lose, Queen's is proud to be represented in Stanley Cup games by as clean a bunch of players as are playing the game.

In the last issue of the JOURNAL we recorded the challenge of Hon. Pol. Econ. to Hon. Philosophy to a game of hockey. The game was played on Monday, Feb. 12th. The teams lined up as follows:

Pol. Econ.—Goal, Donnell; point, Platt; cover-point, May; forwards, MacArthur, Uglow, McCallum, Code.

Philosophy—Goal, Beecroft; point, Ramsay; coverpoint, Wilson; forwards, MacDougall, Jackson, Gibson, Laing.

Referee—Huff.

Philosophy's score in the first half was largely due to the gallant rushes of Gibson towards the south end of the rink. The half-time score was 1-0. In the second half the play was hard. Donnell did sensational work in goal. For particulars see snapshot of our own special artist. The final score was 4-1 in favor of Philosophy.

The game throughout was fairly clean. The referee did good work but showed a slight tendency to penalize the smaller men too much. Platt was forcibly ejected from the game for overwork, while MacArthur's offence of willfully moving the puck with his hockey stick was entirely overlooked.

MacDougall's skate suffered from dualism.

Beecroft played a very steady game.

May was a stonewall on Pol. Econ's defence. So was Platt. Philosophy's only goals were scored through the lane between.

CHALLENGE.

Whereas, we the undersigned Studnts of Honor Philosophy apud Universitatem et Collegium Reginae having found ourselves in a state of self-involved simplicity (unmittelbarkeit) in regard to the Paralogisms, Antinomies, and Ideals of Pure Reason, (cf. Kant, Kritik der reinen Vernunft P. 399.), and

Whereas, the Professors and Fellows of the aforesaid Department of Honor Philosophy do profess to possess some skill in the game known by the "hoi polloi" as Curling, but technically as the "roarin' game," in which we the aforementioned undersigned students do also humbly aspire to some proficiency, and

Whereas, according to transcendental grounds, no a priori conclusions