

Page.	No. 217.	Page.
of Council 7th er practicable.	Lord Stanley of Preston to Sir Terence O'Brien, 24th May, 1892.—Could not a formal notice of intention to remove duties reciprocally be accepted as sufficient on both sides to remove deadlock.	13
	No. 218.	
Council (1143), 1) 18th April, d Legislature, wfoundland to from a letter of a conversa- h calculated to foundland had ntention could	Sir Terence O'Brien to Lord Stanley of Preston, 24th May, 1892.—If any difficulty be anticipated both Governments could fix on date for removal simultaneously of imposition of duties on re- spective products.....	13
	No. 219.	
	Commander Wakeham to Deputy-Minister of Marine and Fisheries, 24th May, 1892.—Gives names of eight Newfoundlanders to whom licenses on Canadian Labrador were issued in 1891.....	14
	No. 220.	
	Sir Terence O'Brien to Lord Stanley of Preston, 25th May, 1892.—A mutual notice agreed to remove duties on and after Friday, 27th May, 1892. Proclamation will issue on reply.....	14
	No. 221.	
utting from the Affairs in the land and the ri passu with Lord Knuts- he way of re-	Lord Stanley of Preston to Sir Terence O'Brien, 26th May, 1892.—Dominion Government agree to remove duties on and after Friday, 27th May, 1892, on understanding Newfoundland does like- wise same day, and also removes restrictions as to bait fishes. Proclamation will issue to-mor- row afternoon on assumption of agreement being carried out by Newfoundland.....	14
	No. 222.	
o net licenses	Sir Terence O'Brien to Lord Stanley of Preston, 27th May, 1892.—States that notice removal duties and granting bait licenses to Canadians on same terms as Newfoundland fishermen to be gazetted 27th May, 1892.....	14
	No. 223.	
de to answer	Lord Stanley of Preston to Sir Terence O'Brien, 27th May, 1892.—Expressing thanks for telegrams. Proclamation passed Council, and will be gazetted to-morrow.....	14
	No. 224.	
1892.—Call at	Lord Stanley of Preston to Lord Knutsford, 27th May, 1892.—Repeats telegram sent to Governor of Newfoundland, 26th May, as to removal of restrictions by respective Governments simul- taneously.....	15
	No. 225.	
quo of 1889 ision of trap	Lord Stanley of Preston to Lord Knutsford, 28th May, 1892.—Expresses the hope that retroactive legislation will not be allowed to pass without reservation.....	15
	No. 226.	
e from Gov- ear (1892) ..	Lord Knutsford to Lord Stanley of Preston, 30th May, 1892.—Expresses satisfaction of His Majesty's Government at arrangement arrived at with Newfoundland.....	15
	No. 227.	
ment agrees tional duties Newfoundland	Lord Stanley of Preston to Sir Terence O'Brien, 30th May, 1892.—Expresses satisfaction at concilia- tory attitude and makes certain overtures regarding the arrangement for a Conference.....	15
	No. 228.	
with satisfac- g prepared, nouncement complete re-	Lord Knutsford to Lord Stanley of Preston, 31st May, 1892.—Referring to telegram of 28th May. Governor of Newfoundland has been informed that the Queen may be advised to allow retro- spective provisions regarding Customs duties, but not license fees under Bait Act.....	16
	No. 229.	
1892. Can- wered that angements nouncement	Lord Knutsford to Lord Stanley of Preston, 31st May, 1892.—Referring to telegrams, 25th April, 30th April and 28th May, 1892, respecting Newfoundland Revenue Bill. The clause providing for discriminating duties on Canadian goods included in every Newfoundland Act since 1885, in view of duty imposed by Dominion Customs Act. Her Majesty's Government unable to instruct reservation of Bill. Pending negotiations should arrange difficulties. Retrospective provisions regarding bait license fees must be reserved unless accompanied by suspending clause.....	16
	No. 230.	
icense book	Minute of Council, 6th June, 1892 (1122 H).—Dealing with Colonial Office despatch, 26th March, 1892. (No. 190 preceding papers.) The Newfoundland Tariff Act was held to be discriminative, and past action of Newfoundland renders Canadian Government naturally apprehensive of legis- lation giving such power.....	17
	No. 231.	
omatically n manner	Minute of Council, 6th June, 1892 (1194 H).—Dealing with a despatch from the High Commissioner for Canada, 30th April, 1892, covering correspondence (see enclosures in No. 202) on the preceding subjects of his interview with Mr. Blaine at Washington, 1891, and language used with regard to Newfoundland's intention to exclude Canada from bait supply. Recommending that copies be sent to Governor of Newfoundland.....	20