## APPENDIX

ember

4. nmons nty of on the

cause trable the 1879, e said ons of ond is duly ctoral e said given te on efined

riber, bered con-

lenry parthe 3. The case of Elias George Cocklin as the person hiring teams, and John Henry Mason as the person from whom the said Elias George Cocklin hired the teams as contained in paragraph I in the particulars of the allegations contained in the Petition herein.

4. The case of Donald Alexander Smith and Henry Blanchard bribers, and Jean Baptise Lapointe, Elzear Lafemodière, Louis Deschambault, L.J.A. Laveque, J.A. N., Provencher, Alexander Begg and A. F. De Gauthieras bribers, and numbered 26 in the particulars of the allegations contained in the Petition herein.

And the said Appeal having come to be heard before this Court on the 12th and 13th days of May, 1880, in the presence of Counsel as well for the Appellants as the Respondent, this Court was pleased to direct that the said Appeal should stand over for Judgment, this Court did order, judge and determine, that the said Appeal should be and the same was allowed with costs to be paid by the said Respondent to the said Appellants.

And the Court did order, adjudge and determine as follows :---

- 1. That the said Donald Alexander Smith was not duly returned or elected, and the said Election was void.
- 2. That so far as appeared by the said Appeal, limited as aforesaid, no corrupt act has been proved to have been committed by or with the knowledge or consent of any candidate at such Election.
- 3. That the said Elias George Cocklin, an agent of the said Respondent at the said Election, did hire a certain team and vehicle to carry voters