

besides commission. Was it ever known for commission men to make such a charge?

They never wrote 100 letters for me. They charged me with 6,000 bushels more grain paid for than was sold (I found out this when I checked the account of the number of bushels bought and sold.)

But I have given you fraudulent transactions enough to prove to you that Taylor & Oates are entirely unworthy of confidence, and belong to that class called beats, and beats of society. They made their account of sales to myself which was right to do for the first twelve months, beginning September, 1880. But they swore in Court that they made a mistake in so doing, that it was Mrs. Bradford's account. They brought her in debt, sued her for \$10,000 and swore it through in order to cover the \$9,200 which they held in trust to pay the North of Scotland mortgage which I had previously given her.

Do not the Bank Directors say by their action in this matter that they will support and sustain these men? What will the honest and right-minded public say to all this?

Now, Gentlemen, according to the facts, the deed of the lot and the mortgage you obtained through Taylor & Oates according to a case decided in High Court a month ago, viz.—*Cox v. Mara*, the Court decided that Cox could not use the collaterals for anything else but what they were given for. I hope you will see these things in their true light, and settle on a fair, square, honest basis with me.

I send a copy of this letter to each of your Directors, hoping in the interests of justice you will see me get fair play in the matter. Patiently awaiting your reply, and trusting you will bring the matter before the Board,

I am, yours respectfully,

R. BRADFORD.

---

## NEW FACTS.

TORONTO, Nov. 27th, 1884.

You will better understand the above letter to the Imperial Bank Directors, when I tell you that Mr. John Wilson, a thorough accountant, now resident in Chicago, came to Toronto and examined the evidence and the different accounts rendered by Taylor & Oates, as put into Court, and reports as follows:

The accounts were cooked, for none of them agree only in amount total. That they obtained judgment by conspiracy, forgery and perjury. That the Court did not have the proper vouchers at the audit, and through these means robbed R. Bradford of all he possessed.

He (Wilson) told Taylor & Oates in their office, in the presence of N. Weatherston—"you have conspired, you have forged, you have sworn falsely, you have robbed R. Bradford out of all his property, and I will prove it by your own evidence and accounts in Court. Taylor threatened to arrest Wilson, and Wilson dared him to do so, and in order to give them plenty of opportunity of having him