

## MINUTES OF EVIDENCE

HOUSE OF COMMONS,  
May 21, 1947.

The Special Committee on Radio Broadcasting met this day at 4 p.m. The Chairman, Mr. R. Maybank, presided.

The CHAIRMAN: I see a quorum, gentlemen; come to order. The first order of business, I grant you'll agree, is the report of the subcommittee.

Your subcommittee reports as follows:

"May 21, 1947.

*To House of Commons Radio Committee*

Your sub-committee reports as follows. It is recommended:

A. That the radio committee meet regularly on Thursdays at 11 a.m. and 4 p.m. after this week.

B. That the representatives of Canadian Association of Broadcasters be heard on Thursday the 5th day of June 1947 at both sittings.

Respectfully submitted,

RALPH MAYBANK.

*Chairman"*

Is there any discussion on that, gentlemen?

Mr. HANSELL: I suppose, Mr. Chairman, that it was found impossible to meet more than on one day each week?

The CHAIRMAN: I think it would be fair to put it this way: this committee thought that was all that should be recommended as a regular thing recognizing, of course, that this committee naturally had control of its procedure from day to day and thinking that in all probability at times an additional day would be taken. I think that is the way the thinking of the subcommittee was.

Mr. BEAUDOIN: I move the adoption of the report.

Mr. SMITH: I am pleased to second the motion.

The CHAIRMAN: Is there any further discussion?

Carried.

That leads me to say to you that I prepared a letter to Mr. Dawson in accordance with what was said at the last meeting. Mr. Dawson is manager of C.A.B., and the letter is one telling him that they will be called on that particular date, expressing the views that have been stated here about going fully into questions that might be raised and asking them to be prepared to go fully into such questions. Of course, I did not release that letter, but I shall release it now. It is written and ready to go to him.

There is only one other matter which I think I should mention to you. A gentleman wrote me a letter at the beginning of the parliamentary session, February 24. This gentleman, Mr. G. H. Langley, was in communication with me about the end of the last parliamentary committee; and as I recall the correspondence at that time I told him the committee had by that time risen. He therefore addressed a letter to me in February to which I had to reply that the