writ of summons, should be discharged. The learned Judge said that, from an affidavit of the plaintiffs' solicitor, filed on the motion, it seemed that the plaintiffs' sole right to file this caution rested on the claim set up in the action. If that were so, the caution should be discharged. R. McKay, K.C., for the defendants. J. T. White, for the plaintiffs.

McINTOSH v. STEWART—CAMERON, MASTER IN CHAMBERS—MARCH 16.

Venue—Change—Expense—Necessity for View of Locus—Preponderance of Convenience.]—Motion by the defendant to change the venue from Toronto to Walkerton. The Master said that from the affidavits filed it was clearly established that a trial at Walkerton would be less expensive than a trial at Toronto. This, however, was not a sufficient reason to change the venue, particularly as the plaintiff's counsel on the hearing agreed to pay the extra expense of a trial at Toronto (see McDonald v. Dawson, 8 O.L.R. 72.) It seemed clear that a view would be required in this case by the trial Judge. Bearing this fact in mind and taking into consideration that a trial at Walkerton would be less expensive, there was a preponderance of convenience in favour of a trial there. Order made changing the place of trial to Walkerton. Costs of the application to be costs in the cause. J. H. Spence, for the defendant. Grayson Smith, for the plaintiff.

TRUSTS AND GUARANTEE CO. v. GRAND VALLEY R.W. CO.—LENNOX, J.—MARCH 19.

Railway Company—Receiver—Payments to Bondholders—Costs.]—Upon the application of certain holders of the bonds of the defendant company, an order was made requiring E. B. Stockdale, receiver of the defendant company, to pay forthwith, out of the sum of $4,800.62 in his hands as receiver, to the applicants, in the proportions shewn in schedules filed, a total sum of $2,627.50; and to the parties to the application their costs. J. G. Wallace, K.C., for the applicants. Grayson Smith, for the receiver.