Shares in companies though owning and using land are not "land" within the statute: Watson v. Spatley, 10 Ex. 222; Bradley v. Holdsworth, 3 M. & W. 422; nor fixtures: Hallen v. Runder, I C. M. & R. 266 nor such products of land as come within the definition of fructus industriales: Evans v. Roberts, 8 D. & R. 611; nor fructus naturales unless still standing, and unless it is intended that they should obtain some benefit from so remaining: Marshall v. Green, L.R. 1 C.P.D. 35. An agreement for improvements by a landlord to be paid for by an increase of rent is not within the statute: Hoby v. Roebuck, 17 R.R. 477; Donellan v. Read, 3 B. & Ald. 899; nor an agreement to build upon land: Wright v. Stavert, 2 E. & E. 728. An equitable mortgage by deposit of title deeds is not within the Act: Russel v. Russel, 2 Bro. C.C. 269; and a lease within section 2 of the Act is not an agreement concerning land within section 4 and does not require writing, if the tenant enters, and the tenancy will be governed by all the parol terms: Edge v. Stafford, 1 C. & J. 391; Bolton v. Tomlin, 5 A. & E. 856. Sales before an officer of the court confirmed by order are not affected by the statute: Attorney-General v. Day, 1 Ves. Sr. 220. Any agreement concerning land will be taken out of the operation of the statute by part performance: Butcher v. Stapely, 1 Ver. 363.

Lastly, of agreements not to be performed within a year. An agreement is not within the statute unless it appears by its whole tenour that it is to be performed after the year: Peter v. Campton, 1 Sm. L.C. 9th ed. 308; nor if it is intended to be performed by one party within a year, for instance, a sale of goods not to be paid for within a year: Donellan v. Read, 3 B. & Ad. 906. A parol lease good under section two is not invalid under this section, because not to be performed within one year: Bolton v. Tomlin, 5 A. & E. 856. A hiring for one year and so from year to year as long as the parties please is not within the Act: Beeston v. Collyer, 12 Moo. 552. A contract to share the profits of an undertaking not to be completed within one year is not within the Act: McKay v. Rutherford, 6 Moo. P. C. 414.

We next turn to section seven, dealing with parol declara-