logs would shake such a foundation to pieces; as if a brick of five or six pounds could bear more force than a stone of ten times the weight. The stones upon the slats are hard and white; and not only sit for a foundation, but for strong walls: I have seen very good walls built with much worse. But notwithstanding this abundant plenty of good stone, they have persisted in building their forts with wood, and upon no other foundation than logs laid level in the ground; the consequence of which is, that they are reduced to rebuild them every twenty-sive or thirty years: whereas if they had laid down a stone-soundation, the sorts would have lasted three times as long, and saved the Company two thirds of the expence.

In the year 1,745 I wrote a letter to the Company, upon the comparative advantages of building their foundations at least, with stone rather than wood;

in which I represented,

"That the evil of being obliged to rebuild their.

forts every twenty-five or thirty years, could not be remedied but by laying their foundations in a different manner, or making them of different materials. Logs laid in the ground, the of the very best oak, must be subject to unavoidable decay from the wet that continually surrounds them; and it was well known, that the timber in the upper works of every building will endure many years longer than the timber at the bottom, if it be not raised high enough to preserve it from the damps of the earth.

"That in those parts of England where stone

"and brick are scarce, they drive pieces of oak, into the ground two or three feet deep, whose upper ends are tenanted into the under side of the fill or botom of the timber house, support-

"ing it a foot or more above the ground; and the fpaces between these piles are filled up with flints and