

Williams vs the B. A. C.

The Plaintiff is in the Witness Box Most of the Day.

Sharp Cross Examination as to What Took Place at Meetings.

Interest in the famous case of Williams v. the B. A. C., the trial of which is now proceeding in the Supreme court, before Mr. Justice Dixon, is increasing.

Mr. Justice Dixon, in his judgment, has taken up by Mr. Davis' cross-examination of the plaintiff, Williams, who proved quite able to take care of himself under the severe fire he was subjected to by the brilliant lawyer from Vancouver.

To Mr. Davis, Mr. Williams said he had a competence outside of what he would get if he won the suit. Witness replied that he had not "eat witness" in Spokane work over \$250,000.

Mr. Davis wanted to know why witness had not taken care of himself under the severe fire he was subjected to by the brilliant lawyer from Vancouver.

Mr. Davis wanted to know why the majority stockholders could consider themselves as entitled to more for their stock than the minority. Witness replied that it was a mere business proposition.

Witness said there was only one meeting before the 27th of June, at which he was present. Subsequently there was a meeting in Hayburn where he was not present.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Lessons of the War

Taking the campaign in its broadest aspects, the lesson that the present necessity for war to be regarded as the ultimate ratio of diplomacy, the continuance of the present national policy with other weapons than exist in diplomatic armaments, and for statesmen to ensure that the armed forces of the state are ready and able to meet the emergency, is the first lesson.

The second lesson is the old one of the "big battalions." No great and permanent results have ever been obtained in the history of war without numbers.

When we sent into the field, we obtained only negative results; our tenacious and yet mobile enemy occupied the more or less overgrown territory of a large part of the population of the theatre of war, we were not entitled to promise ourselves crushing victories without numbers on our side.

Wars of invasion have always been difficult and costly, and have often ended in now than ever: when they also partake of the character of distant expeditions, they are even more so.

Mr. Bodwell asked Mr. Williams if he had a competence outside of what he would get if he won the suit. Witness replied that he had not "eat witness" in Spokane work over \$250,000.

Mr. Davis wanted to know why witness had not taken care of himself under the severe fire he was subjected to by the brilliant lawyer from Vancouver.

Mr. Davis wanted to know why the majority stockholders could consider themselves as entitled to more for their stock than the minority. Witness replied that it was a mere business proposition.

Witness said there was only one meeting before the 27th of June, at which he was present. Subsequently there was a meeting in Hayburn where he was not present.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Mr. Davis asked witness if he had signed the contract for the shares. Witness replied that he had not signed the contract.

Stamped to New Strike Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Gold at the Headwaters of Kuskokwim - Stamped from Nome.

Orders in Council

Orders in Council.

Notices to Contractors

Notices to Contractors.

Notices to Contractors.