The Homiletic Review (1) is an American monthly magazines. Funk and Sherwood, and, judging from the number before us, is a very interesting and useful publication. Preachers and ministers, for whom it is primarily intended, will find in it much that is helpful; the notes for sermons, &c., are extremely good, and the longer articles, which are very well done, will be read with interest by a larger number than preachers and ministers. We notice with especial approval an article by the Rev. Owen Jones, entitled "Preacher and Orator," and there is also an excellent criticism on the poetry of modern scepticism by Professor Murray. The Homiletic Review deserves to be known widely in this country as well as in America.

The same publishers also send us a number of the *Missionary Review* (2) of the World, which we have read with pleasure. The article which contains further testimonies to missions ought to be read by all manner of persons, for it will encourage those who do take an interest in missionary work, and go far to convince those who decry it, that there is a good deal more done in this way than they think; the rest of the magazine is thoroughly praiseworthy.

The Theological Review (3) contains a very suggestive article about Dr. Hatch's views and researches in Biblical Greek; and another by Mr. Halliday Douglas on the claim of Jesus to be the Messiah. There is also an interesting "Symposium" on Church Service from the Presbyterian point of view, and an excellent series of critical notices.

The Homiletic Magazine (4) for April maintains the level of excellence which this publication has reached. There is a very interesting commentary on Micah, and a capital sermon in outline on "Keeping the Temper." This magazine keeps to its title in the most commendable manner.

y

N

Γ.

et,

ce.

The Archæological Review (5) is not strictly theological, but the number for March which is before us contains an able article by Mr. J. Jacobs on "Recent Research in Bible Archæology," the first of a series which is to form a prominent feature in the current year's issue. Mr. Jacobs has come to the conclusion that the antiquary of the old school, "the bones and stones man," as he calls him, can find nothing in the Old Testament on which to exercise his industry and ingenuity. But he thinks that specialists may exercise their powers with advantage. Mr. Jacobs points out the backward state of Old Testament scholarship with regard to the condition of the text, and