

## INDEX.

**ACCOUNT**—Agent—Failure to account  
—Interest ..... 329  
See AGENT, 1.

—Portwardens—Fees of office.....175  
See PORTWARDENS.

—Statute of Limitations—Receipt  
of rents—Agent.....410  
See AGENT, 2.

**ADVANCEMENT**—Purchase—Husband  
and wife—Resulting Trust. 216  
See GIFT, 1.

**AFFIDAVIT**—Interpleader bill—Denial of  
collusion ..... 476  
See INTERPLEADER.

**AGENT**—Failure to account—Interest—  
Costs of preparing receipt-inventory  
of estate—Costs of suit.] An agent re-  
fusing to give an account and pay over  
balance is chargeable with interest.  
Costs disallowed to an estate agent of  
preparing a receipt containing a  
schedule of leases and securities de-  
livered up to the principal. Costs of  
suit against an agent for an account  
ordered to be paid by him where he  
had disregarded requests for an ac-  
count, and had filed an improper  
account in the suit. *SIMONDS v. COS-  
TER* ..... 329

2.—Statute of Limitations—Receipt  
of rents—Right to an account.] Where  
defendant received the rents of a prop-  
erty for a period of twenty-five years  
without during that time accounting  
to plaintiff, it was held that the right  
to an account was not barred by the  
lapse of time, defendant having taken  
possession of the property under an  
agreement with plaintiff, which had  
never been terminated, to hold the  
property for him and to account to  
him for it. *PICK v. EDWARDS*..... 410

—Company—Sale of shares—Pros-  
pectus—Misrepresentation—  
Rescission—Liability of direc-  
tors—Delay ..... 508  
See Company, 2.

—Lunatic—Estate of—Collection of  
rents ..... 327  
See LUNATIC.

**ACREEMENT**—Consideration—Public  
exhibition—Competition for medal—  
Competition instituted by manager of  
exhibition—Scope of duties.] Three  
proprietors of blends of tea exhibiting  
their teas at a public exhibition held  
by the defendant society allowed their  
teas to be judged by a committee ap-  
pointed by the society, in competition  
for a gold medal offered by the society.  
During the exhibition each of the com-  
petitors served the public gratuitously  
with samples of made tea, and tea was  
served by them to the committee in  
the same way that it was served to the  
public. The committee having award-  
ed the medal to the plaintiff, a com-  
petitor;—Held, that there was con-  
sideration for the offer, entitling the  
plaintiff to the medal. Where the  
executive of the above society adopted  
a resolution to award medals to all  
displays of merit or excellence of goods  
on exhibition, the awards to be made  
by regularly appointed judges; and  
the general manager of the exhibition,  
who was vice-president of the execu-  
tive, and a member of a committee of  
three to appoint judges, there-upon  
arranged the above competition, and  
with a co-member of the committee  
to select judges, named the judges for  
the competition, it was held that the  
competition must be taken to have  
been instituted by the society. *PETERS  
v. THE AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY, DIS-  
TRICT No. 34*.....127

2.—Family arrangement—Con-  
sideration.] J. H. died intestate pos-  
sessed of property worth about \$40,000,  
and survived by his widow, two sons  
and three daughters. Part of his prop-  
erty consisted of lumber lands worth  
\$21,000, which it had been his inten-  
tion, known to all the members of the  
family, to give to the sons, who were  
associated with him in his business as  
a lumberman. A few days before his  
death, in discussing with his solicitor  
the terms of a will he intended to  
make, he stated he wanted his lumber  
lands and mill property to go to the  
sons, who should continue his business