## ( 310.)

4. It is true, the fiftcenth article of the treaty of Utrecht contains the fame flipulations in favour of the French, as it does in favour of the English, with regard to fuch Indian nations as fhould, after the conclusion of this treaty, be by the commissaries deemed to be subjects of Great Britain or France ; but as to what regards the five Iroquois nations, or cantons above-mentioned, France has diftinctly, and specifically declared by the faid fifteenth article, that they are fubject to Great Britain : Magnæ Britanniæ imperio Subjecti ; and confequently, this point can admit of no faither difpute. 1117 Of La 1 19 2711

5. In whatever fenfe the treaty of Utrecht may be understood, with respect to the trade which the English and French shall be allowed: to carry on indiffinctly with the Savage nations; it is notwithstanding very certain, that fuch a general trade is not at all forbidden by this treaty. It is a common and natural right which people have, to go and negociate among their fubjects, \* allies or friends; but to come with an armed force on the lands belonging to the fubjects or allies of another crown, and there to build forts, ftrip

This is ftill begging the Queffion. The Iroquois are eternally supposed to be the subjects of England. They are at this time their enemies ; fasto Jure, they have been always free : We need only to caft our eyes on the spacehes of M. Johnson, and M. Washington, as a Proof of this.

A Main

them

ther one be a the nien of I river the V Fran appu truth have Eng tions treat left, affici 6. has, fully quois

on w

confid

never difturb

vice w

even o

you no

it nece

fuch f.

look o

of Frie