terial means a stant ask of mistaking metaphors for scientific lays. To adapt a phrase of Bacon's, we might say that the co ception of evolution which is adequate in the biological sphere, is nevertheless subtilitative rerum humanarum longe impar,— no

match for the subtility of human history." \*

(a) In looking to biology for hints as to the factors in social evolution, it is necessary to bear in mind the present security of biolo ical conclusions on the problem of evolution (see thap. XI), a l the fact that the biologist has himself often followed the clew suggested by social processes. here is no small risk of a lamentably vie. us circle We would suggest that ociologists should so fa as possible focus their attention rather of the armal socialgroup (the herd, the bock, beeth re, te ant-hill, the beaver-village, the mokern than on the individnal organism, for in the later case the analogy is more remote, and there or more apt to be illusive. It should be evident that there is no strict analogy between struggle in con-scial species and the competition of social grows. Among individual men it is, indeed, easy and analogues of what occurs among animals, e.g., in the struggle with climate or with Baeteria; t distinctively social strugg e it is a ease organisation against another organisation, bysical victory over the component individual in a mean victory for the organisation (as expressed in ideas) of the defeated.

Furthermore, in using the selection-formula, we must be careful to bear in mind that the se ection in a progressive society is in part conscious, deliberate, and a simular Selection determined by

<sup>\*</sup> Social Evolution, Internat. Journal Ethics, vi. (1896), p.