

The Toronto World

FOUNDED 1881
Morning Newspaper Published
Every Day in the Year.
WORLD BUILDING, TORONTO.
Corner James and Richmond Streets.
TELEPHONE CALLS.
Main 335-Private Exchanges Connecting
all Departments.

Readers of the World will confer a favor upon the publishers if they will send information in this office of any new stand or railway train where a Toronto paper should be on sale and where The World is not offered.

FOR AND AGAINST THE PEOPLE.

In London and Hamilton the contests for the mayoralty turn on electric power issues. The issues are clearly defined between the people and the electric corporations.

In London, Ald. Beattie, a bitter opponent of the hydro-electric power scheme, is running in the interests of the electric corporations. Ald. Beattie is a Conservative and was opposed by Ald. Ferguson, a Liberal. Little doubt is felt about Hon. Mr. Beck's election, should he accept the nomination, but the corporation organs already question the legality of his candidature. Mr. Beck will take a perfectly legal course, but what is more he will be absolutely faithful to the people's mandate. Hence the bitterness of the corporation organs.

Even more venomous are the corporation organs in Hamilton over the candidature of Ald. Dr. Hopkins in opposition to the present Mayor McLaren, who was re-elected to his pre-election pledges to the people last year. After promising to support the hydro-electric policy when elected he turned out in his true colors as a Catechism Power Co. supporter, and even now has the audacity to circulate a pamphlet defending this abandonment of the first principle of public morality. The Hamilton Spectator and Times, the corporation organs, ask for a second term for this unfaithful steward, but the people are not likely to trust such a man again. The prospect of defeat inspires the organs to the use of vitriolic language. "Hydro-electric fanatics, and the grafters, who, vulture-like, hang around them, scenting off," is a choice and characteristic specimen from The Times. The Spectator declares Ald. Hopkins, a native of Hamilton, and long a resident, to be "an absolutely unknown quantity, and therefore, a man to be regarded with suspicion."

In the case of Mayor McLaren, the people have no suspicions. They are certain.

At Trenton, where a corporation endeavored thru a facile council to hoodwink the ratepayers into an undesirable electric power agreement, Dr. Edward Kidd has consented to undertake the people's service in securing either publicly owned electric power for Trenton, or at least, a better disposition of the valuable franchise which the town has at its disposal.

And Toronto is also engaged in an electric power fight. At the bottom of all the issues, floor-street, viaduct, Yonge-street tubes, and the rest, is the question whether the electric corporation street railway ring is to dominate the city or be made amenable to the people. Controller Hocken is the people's champion in this matter without doubt.

JUDGED ON FORM.

Controller Geary finds fault with The World's method of judging him. When the Guelph students go to Chicago and carry off prizes for judging on points they do it by sticking to class form. The World knows, or thinks it knows, what sort of a mayor would suit Toronto. Mr. Geary knows, or thinks he knows, that he knows better, because the kind of a mayor he wants Toronto to have is different from the kind of a mayor The World wants. The people will have to decide which is right, but meanwhile the judging competition is on, and it is necessary to point out where Controller Geary fails to conform to standard.

It is not at all necessary to point out Controller Geary's virtues. He would probably admit himself that they were obvious. It is all the more necessary in the face of such glaring merits to indicate the dangerous defects which might be overlooked amid so much superficial brilliance.

But why not, objects Controller Geary, dwell on Controller Hocken's merits and never mind me? Simply because Controller Hocken's virtues are not less evident than those of his opponent, while he does not possess the objectionable blemishes which Controller Geary dislikes to have emphasized.

If Controller Geary was a better man than Controller Hocken, and Controller Hocken had the supporters behind him that Controller Geary is depending upon to elect him, The World would take a different course. Controller Geary evinces no desire to change his spots, however, and as he would be unlikely to do so if he were elected, Controller Hocken is evidently the safe man for the people.

MR. W. K. McNAUGHT AND TUBES.
The Evening Star is using the fact that Mr. W. K. McNaught, M.L.A., and a member of the hydro-electric power commission, has nominated Controller Geary for the mayoralty as an argument that Mr. Geary should be elected mayor.

Mr. McNaught's promise to nominate Mr. Geary dates from a year ago, if

DAINTY AND NEW



As a gift, appreciated by all, a model of perfection, there is nothing to equal the new Vest Pocket Camera, the

ENSIGNETTE

It is built of metal, is perfectly reliable, simple to operate, takes instantaneous pictures, always gives satisfaction and will fit easily into a vest pocket, ordinary purse, etc.

Delivered post free for \$9.00 to any address in Canada, if your dealer hasn't got it.

UNITED PHOTO STORES, Limited
15 ADELAIDE STREET EAST

not longer. He does not see his way to break his pledge, and for this scrupulous conduct everyone will respect him. But Mr. McNaught will not be found to be an opponent of Controller Hocken's platform. Mr. McNaught is in favor of the tube system and will vote for tubes as a valuable thing for the city, and necessarily advantageous to the hydro-power scheme and a public ownership policy. The Star can take what satisfaction it pleases out of that fact.

Controller Geary first spoke against tubes in the council. Then he agreed to the referring of it to the people. Now he is in favor of a tube scheme if it is not Controller Hocken's. Trimming of this character will not commend him to the electors. Trimmers are never solidly popular.

Even with the support of the street railway interests Controller Geary is not a serious menace to public ownership or the hydro-electric scheme, while Controller Hocken is in the field. People will vote for the man who originated the tube scheme rather than for the man who adopted it because it was popular.

NEW PRISON—NEW METHODS.

An excellent choice appears to have been made in the selection of the new Central Prison site near Guelph. Apart from the suitability of the land and soil, the central character of the location in relation to the criminal-producing areas is one of economic importance.

Beyond all these considerations, however, is the warrant which the provincial government has now given that the prison system of Ontario is to enter upon a new and humaner stage of administration. It was a part of the

philosophy of the dark ages that criminals were outside the pale of human brotherhood, to be caged like beasts or hanged like dogs. As a fuller measure of the Christian spirit touches our civilization the faith that the vilest of our fellow-men has something that may be wrought upon for good is strengthened. In many lands and according to varying national genius this broader view is being realized, and it is fitting that the banner province of Ontario should give it such expression in Canada as Hon. W. J. Hanna's plans for prison reform embody.

The spirit of Christmas is a practical one, and the Master who was the Friend of sinners identified Himself with those who were hungry or thirsty or in prison. What was done unto them was done unto Him, was the deep-reaching assurance that has made all the good works of the centuries vital. With all our temporal prosperity and our rulers and representatives failed with larger hearts to provide for the children of Ignorance.

THE CHRISTMAS WAR CRY.

Among the special editions issued at this season, high place is justly taken by The Christmas War Cry. With the exception of Balfour Kerr's touching picture, "Home, Sweet Home," and a strikingly imaginative interpretation of "The Nativity," by Walter Trier, the colored and black and white illustrations, including the cover and the presswork, are the work of the Army's own staff of artists and employees, who all received their training in its service. The same is true of the writers and editors. As the result of their co-operation, The Christmas War Cry is a thoroughly artistic production, reflecting great credit on those concerned. Naturally, considerable space is devoted to the Army's varied activities

and to portraits of the general, the chief of the staff, Commissioner Coombe and other high officers connected with the Canadian headquarters. But ample room is found for interesting articles, character sketches and stories introduced by an earnest Christmas appeal from the pen of the venerable commander-in-chief. The World has pleasure in calling attention to this very attractive souvenir of an organization which has accomplished much and is still extending its labors in aid of the wreckage of humanity all over the world.

DON'T GROUCH ON A CAR.

Editor World: I came down town this morning in a crowded Yonge-street car. Two prominent citizens both standing got into a wordy quarrel. They kept it up for six blocks, much to the discomfort of other passengers. A man's car ticket entitles him to a ride, but surely not to the privilege of annoying and offending all the other passengers. If you want to quarrel, get off.

North Toronto.

Dec. 23, 1909.

HOCKEN 16-1.

Last night's News editorial: A reporter of The News has taken an interesting census of the city council, in order to ascertain how the individual members stand on the Hocken-Geary contest. Council is composed of 25 members. Eliminating Controller Hocken, Ald. Geary and Ald. Benson, who is still absent, we have 22 members left. These 22 controllers and aldermen divide as follows:

For Hocken 13

For Geary 1

Non-committal 5

Total 22

Council is 16 to 1 in favor of Hocken. Throwing all the non-committal members into the Geary column, the record still stands 16 to 6 in Mr. Hocken's favor—almost as emphatic as Varsity's score against Ottawa. Surely it is significant that those who have sat by the two candidates in council day in and day out for years, should decide so overwhelmingly for the one man and against the other. Members of council are able to view the situation from an intimate inside standpoint. More fully than is possible to outsiders they are familiar with the work of the two combatants. Upon such special first-hand knowledge they have based their verdict. In going 16 to 1, or, at the least, 16 to 6, for Mr. Hocken, the controllers and aldermen have given the electors a valuable lead, which they should not be slow to follow.

Presentation to W. J. Davies.
William J. Davies, recently appointed to the position of chief clerk in the registration branch of the provincial secretary's department, was presented yesterday afternoon by his former colleagues of the editorial and reportorial staff of The Globe with a handsome gold watch. John A. Ewan, assistant managing editor, who made the presentation, referred feelingly to the regret they all felt at losing a brother journalist, and congratulated him on his new appointment.

T. EATON CO. LIMITED
TORONTO CANADA

AT OSGOOD HALL

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Osgood Hall, Dec. 23.
The master in chambers will hear motions on Tuesdays and Fridays, being Dec. 24, 28 and 31, and January 4, 1910, at 10.30 a.m. precisely.

Master's Chambers.
Before Cartwright, K.C. Master.
Foley v. McLeod-Shaver (Hodgins).

Divisional Court.
Before Meredith, C.J.; Teetzel, J.; Sutherland, J.; Casey, Wood, for defendant, moved to extend the time for appealing from the judgment of the district court of Kenora. F. Aylesworth, for plaintiff, contra. By consent of counsel, motion adjourned until 10th January, 1910.

Judge's Chambers.
Before the Chancellor.
Re Northbrook and Etobicoke—J. D. Montgomery, for the township, moved in expropriation proceedings taking lands for a sewage plant for liberty to pay \$1800, the amount agreed upon, in court and to advertise for any claims as per the statute. Order giving leave to pay the \$1800 into court and to advertise once per week for three weeks in a Toronto daily paper and in The Ontario Gazette for claims.

In the same matter, W. R. Wadsworth, for Toronto General Trusts Corporation and for Northbrook and wife, moved for an order for payment out of the said sum to the Toronto General Trusts Corporation. Order made. Costs of motion to be fixed by the registrar.

Single Court.
Before the Chancellor.
McWilliam v. Whalen—T. W. W. Evans, for plaintiff, moved for judgment on further directions. No one contra. Judgment declaring that plaintiff can make a good title, ordering the defendant to pay the balance due of \$300, and interest in a month, and in

default, order for possession by plaintiff. Registrar to take accounts.

Stevens v. Carter—O. D. Pratt (Hamilton), for plaintiff, on motion for injunction. Eric Armour, for defendant. Motion stands till 10th January next, by consent.

Kneen v. Bryant Press—J. G. O'Donoghue, for plaintiff. W. N. Ferguson, K.C., for defendants. By consent, motion for injunction restraining the defendant from publishing, working, and disseminating action without costs.

Davis v. German—Casey Wood, for plaintiff, on motion to continue injunction. F. Aylesworth, for defendant, asked enlargement to examine. Enlarged until 12th January next. Injunction continued meantime.

Re Foley—E. G. Long, for beneficiaries and trustee, moved ex parte for an order relieving trustee. Order made removing trustee and appointing E. C. Huyck, county judge of Peterboro, in his place and stead, and vesting the property in the new trustee. Mr. Steele, present trustee, to pass his accounts.

Smith v. Kennedy—W. Proudfoot, K.C., for plaintiff, moved for judgment for possession of certain lands and to continue injunction restraining the defendants, their servants, workmen, and agents, from entering upon or in any way interfering with the lands in the writ on summons mentioned. Defendant, Robert Kennedy, in person, contra. Defendant to redeliver possession and injunction continued until the trial. Costs in the cause.

Non-Jury County Court.
Peremptory list for non-jury county court before Judge Winchester, Friday, Dec. 24, at city hall, at 10.30 a.m.: 15. Jones v. Oliver Lumber Co. (continued).

Jury County Court.
Peremptory list for jury county court before Judge Morgan, Monday, Dec. 27, at city hall, at 11 a.m.: 15. Sorley v. Farmers Bank.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.



T. EATON CO. LIMITED
TORONTO CANADA

AT OSGOOD HALL

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

Osgood Hall, Dec. 23.
The master in chambers will hear motions on Tuesdays and Fridays, being Dec. 24, 28 and 31, and January 4, 1910, at 10.30 a.m. precisely.

Master's Chambers.
Before Cartwright, K.C. Master.
Foley v. McLeod-Shaver (Hodgins).

Divisional Court.
Before Meredith, C.J.; Teetzel, J.; Sutherland, J.; Casey, Wood, for defendant, moved to extend the time for appealing from the judgment of the district court of Kenora. F. Aylesworth, for plaintiff, contra. By consent of counsel, motion adjourned until 10th January, 1910.

Judge's Chambers.
Before the Chancellor.
McWilliam v. Whalen—T. W. W. Evans, for plaintiff, moved for judgment on further directions. No one contra. Judgment declaring that plaintiff can make a good title, ordering the defendant to pay the balance due of \$300, and interest in a month, and in

default, order for possession by plaintiff. Registrar to take accounts.

Stevens v. Carter—O. D. Pratt (Hamilton), for plaintiff, on motion for injunction. Eric Armour, for defendant. Motion stands till 10th January next, by consent.

Kneen v. Bryant Press—J. G. O'Donoghue, for plaintiff. W. N. Ferguson, K.C., for defendants. By consent, motion for injunction restraining the defendant from publishing, working, and disseminating action without costs.

Davis v. German—Casey Wood, for plaintiff, on motion to continue injunction. F. Aylesworth, for defendant, asked enlargement to examine. Enlarged until 12th January next. Injunction continued meantime.

Re Foley—E. G. Long, for beneficiaries and trustee, moved ex parte for an order relieving trustee. Order made removing trustee and appointing E. C. Huyck, county judge of Peterboro, in his place and stead, and vesting the property in the new trustee. Mr. Steele, present trustee, to pass his accounts.

Smith v. Kennedy—W. Proudfoot, K.C., for plaintiff, moved for judgment for possession of certain lands and to continue injunction restraining the defendants, their servants, workmen, and agents, from entering upon or in any way interfering with the lands in the writ on summons mentioned. Defendant, Robert Kennedy, in person, contra. Defendant to redeliver possession and injunction continued until the trial. Costs in the cause.

Non-Jury County Court.
Peremptory list for non-jury county court before Judge Winchester, Friday, Dec. 24, at city hall, at 10.30 a.m.: 15. Jones v. Oliver Lumber Co. (continued).

Jury County Court.
Peremptory list for jury county court before Judge Morgan, Monday, Dec. 27, at city hall, at 11 a.m.: 15. Sorley v. Farmers Bank.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.

Earl v. Reid—G. S. Gibson (London), for defendant Reid, appealed from the judgment of the district court of Kenora, 1909. J. F. Faulds (London), for the plaintiff, contra. The action was for \$2000 damages for injuries sustained by the falling of one building upon another, destroying it and alleged to be due to the negligence of defendants. As against defendants, Kernahan and Wilson, with costs and judgment given for plaintiff against defendant Reid, for \$200 and costs. Defendant Reid's appeal therefrom argued and judgment reserved.