

Province of Canada,  
DISTRICT OF MONTREAL. }

# SUPERIOR COURT.

ARTHUR C. WEBSTER,

Plaintiff.

THE GRAND TRUNK RAILWAY COMPANY OF CANADA.

Defendants.

The Defendants for *Defense au fonds en Droit* to Plaintiff's Action in this cause, not confessing or acknowledging any of the matters and things in the said Declaration set forth to be true, say that all the allegations matters and things in Plaintiff's Declaration contained are and each of them is wholly and altogether unfounded in law and not sufficient therein for the said Plaintiff to have or maintain against the said Defendants the conclusions in the said declaration taken or any or either of them or the action of the said Plaintiff in this behalf against the said Defendants and this they are ready to verify.

Wherefore the said Defendants humbly pray that by the Judgment of this Honorable Court, the said Plaintiff's Action be hence dismissed with costs, distraction whereof is prayed by the undersigned Attorneys.

(Signed,) CARTIER & BERTHELOT,  
Defendants' Attcys.

Montreal, 10th June, 1888.

Reasons or *moyens* alleged by the Defendants in support of the foregoing *Défense au fonds en Droit* :

1st. Because from the allegations of the Plaintiff's said declaration, it appears that the right to recover damages by reason of the alleged refusal of Defendants to transfer the shares in said declaration referred to (if any such right exist) is vested in the parties therein named as transferees of said shares to wit in the firm of Lemessurier, Routh and Co. and in the City and District Savings Bank and not in the said now Plaintiff, and because no demand by Plaintiff on the Defendants to transfer said stock is alleged in said declaration, or any legal cause or reason by which the Plaintiff can demand damages or recover the alleged loss referred to, by reason of a refusal to comply with the alleged demands made by the said transferees.

2dly. Because by the Law regulating the transfer of shares in the said Railway Company the Defendants, a form of transfer is provided, and it is thereby also provided that a duplicate of the transfer in the form so provided, should be delivered to the Directors of the said Company to be filed and kept for the use of the said Company and that an entry thereof should be made in a Book to be kept for that purpose, and because it is not in Plaintiff's declaration alleged that the transfer of the said shares was made in the form provided for and embodied in said Law, or that a duplicate thereof was delivered to the said Directors, and because the alleged offer to surrender the duplicate by the said transferees is not a sufficient compliance with said law, nor could such offer made by the said transferees avail or be pleaded by the said Plaintiff.

3dly. Because the pretended right of the Plaintiff to recover from the Defendants the sums of money in Plaintiff's declaration referred to appears from the said declaration to rest upon alleged contracts with the said transferees and upon debts alleged to be due them by Plaintiff and on alleged transfers to them of said shares, as collateral security for said debts, and upon alleged demands and protests in respect of said shares and refusals by Defendants to comply with their said demands whereas by law, no such right is or can be by reason of said allegations, vested in the Plaintiff against the said Defendants, by reason of alleged contracts, debts and transactions between Plaintiff and the said transferees to which the Defendants are not alleged to have been privy, and because the refusal to comply with the said demands of said transferees in transferring said stock would confer on said transferees a right to a similar action against Defendants on their part and for their benefit but not upon the now Plaintiff.

4th. Because the alleged fall or depreciation in the price or value of said shares and the alleged incidental loss and damages in Plaintiff's declaration referred to, does not impose on Defendants any responsibility in law to pay Plaintiff for such alleged, diminution in value, damage or loss, in as much as the Plaintiff appears to have transferred and was by law obliged to transfer the said shares absolutely to the transferees for value paid and irrespective of the alleged understandings in Plaintiff's declaration mentioned, and because such pretended fall in the price or value of said stock is not nor can the same be taken or held as recoverable by Plaintiff from the Defendants, without allegations showing actual damage suffered by him by reason of his undertakings as Vendor or transferor to the said Vendees or transferees and in the quality of Vendor or transferor solely and not from any indirect interest in any surplus remaining over, after the application of said shares as collateral security in payment of said alleged debts, whereas no

said Company De-  
n execution of such  
Company Defendants  
he said Company :  
hundred and fifty-  
lications on several  
his Colleague, No.  
to have the said  
the said Company  
der the said trans-  
ing to transfer the  
and thereupon the  
damages, injuries  
suffered and sus-  
appear by reference  
test herewith pro-  
forming part of

of the said Compa-  
withholding such  
ation for so acting  
made as aforesaid  
to the parties so

made as aforesaid,  
stock on the Books  
ere worth in the  
discount and that  
e said Books of  
said Lemessurier,  
aid in the interest  
the same for an  
ds sterling or six  
pence currency.

pany Defendants  
aid Company the  
any part thereof,  
when the said  
shares in favor  
ath day of May,  
said two hundred  
ontreal. That in  
said Books was  
tioned when the  
pany Defendants  
which the said  
obtain and realise  
caused to be sold  
so respectively  
and three hundred  
six thousand six  
pence, which they  
ed to have their  
hen the demands  
t lost to the said  
ree shillings and  
rotest and other  
said illegal and  
interest, cost of  
estimates at six  
pence.

ntiff hath a right  
mentioned which

pay the said last  
pay the same or

of a trial by jury,  
and condemned,  
ounds currency,

DUNKIN,  
for Plaintiffs.