

95<sup>2</sup>

Pacific

# MEMORANDUM,

RESPECTING STATEMENT NO. 10, AND CANADA'S REFERENCE TO EXCESS OF  
EXPENDITURE IN B. C., OVER RECEIPTS IN ORDER IN COUNCIL ON PETITION  
TO THE QUEEN.

	\$
1. Total approximate expenditure, by Canada, exclusive of C. P. Railway in British Columbia, July 20, 1871, to July 1, 1880 ...	5,338,894
2. Total Revenue (approximate) derived by Canada from and in British Columbia, from July 20, 1871, to July 1, 1880 ...	4,223,745
(See Statement No. 3, Column 6, p. 144, of Printed Papers). Balance in Excess of Receipts ...	<u>\$1,115,149</u>

3. The Total Expenditure by Canada in British Columbia was made in order to fulfil her Treaty obligations, under Terms of Union; and, hence, can in no possible way be set up as an offset to non-fulfilment of her Railway obligation, under the 11th Section of Terms of Union.

4. The following items, excluding subsidies, &c., in Statement No. 10, are consequent on extension of Dominion Government to British Columbia, viz. :—

(1.) Legislation ...	\$ 200,098
(2.) Pensions ...	40,533
(3.) Marine Hospital ...	22,625
(4.) Militia ...	50,308
(5.) Public Works—Penitentiary ...	150,000
Custom House and Post Office, Victoria and Light- houses (valuable to-day) ...	75,000
(6.) Ocean service, excess over former subsidy to San Francisco, &c., say ...	360,000
(7.) Post Office, including Land Mail, Service. Excess of Expenditure over 1871, say ...	500,000
(8.) Indians—Excess, say ...	200,000
(9.) Excise—Excess, say ...	40,000
(10.) Telegraph—Excess, say ...	275,000
(11.) Superannuation—Excess, say ...	9,000
(12.) Assistant-Receiver-General's Department, say ...	68,000
Unnecessary to give more particulars.	

Approximate Total Excess of Expenditure of Canada in B. C., incident to Union with B.C. ...	<u>\$1,990,564</u>
--	--------------------

5. Had British Columbia not united with Canada, the above Approximate Excess over ordinary Expenditure for the Province would never have occurred.

6. The entire Expenditure in the Statement above, came chiefly under the head of Controllable Expenditure, and it was within the discretion of the Dominion Parliament to increase or reduce it. Hence, British Columbia cannot be held responsible for the respective amounts expended.

7. In any case, and from any point of view, the Total Expenditure in Statement No. 10, cannot be urged as an offset to non-fulfilment by Canada of the Railway obligation of the Terms of Union.

If such were urged, it would be equivalent to setting up a new way to pay off debts, viz., that the payment of one or more debts liquidated all others due to the same person.