

alleged negligence of the defendants' servants. It appeared that the plaintiff was a trespasser on a train of the Pere Marquette Railway, and while on the platform of a car in that train it backed into a car of the Grand Trunk Railway Co. which had been left without light on a siding foul of the main line on which the Pere Marquette train was backing. The accident occurred on the defendants' premises. The Judicial Committee of the Privy Council (Lords Macnaghten and Robson, and Sir A. Wilson) disagreed with the majority of the court below and held that the plaintiff was a trespasser not only on the Pere Marquette train, but also on the defendants' premises and that no breach by the defendants of any duty to him was shewn and therefore they were not liable as claimed.

---

NOTE.—On p. 460, ante, second line, for "appropriate" read "approbate."