

*Labour Conditions*

● (1410)

[English]

**PENSIONS**

PROPOSED COMMITTEE STUDY OF AGGREGATE PENSION COMMITMENTS OF ALL LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Sinclair Stevens (York-Simcoe):** Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Standing Order 43, I rise on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. Considering that it has been estimated there may be already \$400 billion of unfunded liabilities in Canada arising from governmental commitments under pension plans and bearing in mind the fact that the Canada Pension Plan will cross a threshold on or before 1982 at which time benefit payments will exceed tax income, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Hamilton West (Mr. Alexander):

That there be an immediate reference to the Standing Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs to determine what in fact is the aggregate pensions commitment outstanding at all levels of government, to what extent these commitments are funded and to what extent they will become a burden on future taxpayers.

**Mr. Speaker:** Such a motion can only be presented with the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** No!

\* \* \*

**LABOUR CONDITIONS**

REQUEST FOR EXPLANATION OF MINISTER'S STATEMENT GOVERNMENT IS MAKING ACCOMMODATION WITH LABOUR—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. John Fraser (Vancouver South):** Mr. Speaker, I too rise on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity pursuant to Standing Order 43. My proposed motion arises from a statement by the Minister of Labour on Saturday that the government was forging a new, accommodating arrangement with labour. In view of the fact that labour has consistently refused to return its representatives to the Canada Labour Relations Council and the Economic Council or to accept the minister's multipartite national forum, and, further, in view of the fact that Mr. Joe Morris, President of the CLC, has now publicly repudiated the minister's statement and reiterated labour's claim that they were, and I quote, "sold down the river" by the Liberal government, I ask leave to move, seconded by the hon. member for Dauphin (Mr. Ritchie):

That this House direct the Minister of Labour to appear before the Standing Committee on Labour, Manpower and Immigration to explain fully the basis for his statement and explain to the committee why it has been so swiftly contradicted by the President of the CLC.

**Mr. Speaker:** Such a motion can be presented to the House only with unanimous consent. Is there such consent?

**Some hon. Members:** No!

[Mr. Speaker.]

**AIR TRANSPORT**

GOVERNMENT POSITION ON COURT DECISION ON ACQUISITION OF PACIFIC WESTERN AIRLINES BY ALBERTA—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Paul Yewchuk (Athabasca):** Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to move a motion on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. My motion relates to the ruling by the Supreme Court of Canada in favour of the right of the government of Alberta to purchase Pacific Western Airlines. I move, seconded by the hon. member for Edmonton Centre (Mr. Paproski):

That a spokesman for the government state on motions today whether the government accepts the decision of the Supreme Court or whether it intends to bring in the contingency plan of the Minister of Transport to introduce retroactive legislation, and whether the government proposes to rescind the order in council preventing the move of the head office of Pacific Western Airlines to Alberta.

**Mr. Speaker:** Presentation of such a motion can be made only with the unanimous consent of the House.

**Some hon. Members:** No!

\* \* \*

**ATOMIC ENERGY CONTROL BOARD**

ALLOCATION OF FUNDS TO ENABLE BOARD TO DEAL WITH RADIATION IN ELLIOT LAKE HOMES—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Cyril Symes (Sault Ste. Marie):** Mr. Speaker, I seek to move a motion under the terms of Standing Order 43. In view of the fact that the start of clean-up operations affecting about 200 homes in Elliot Lake which show unacceptable levels of radiation has been delayed as a result of the government's refusal to allocate the necessary funds to the Atomic Energy Control Board, claiming the board has no responsibility for natural occurrences of radiation, and bearing in mind the negligence of AECB in failing to check the site before these homes were constructed, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Brant (Mr. Blackburn):

That the government recognize its responsibility to fund the remedial action necessary to protect the health of Elliot Lake residents.

**Mr. Speaker:** Such a motion can only be moved with the unanimous consent of the House. Is there such consent?

**Some hon. Members:** No!

**ORAL QUESTION PERIOD**

[English]

**ENERGY**

PROPOSED PUBLIC INQUIRY INTO USE OF ATOMIC ENERGY—GOVERNMENT POSITION

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. Leader of the Opposition.