

DIARY—CONTENTS—EDITORIAL ITEMS.

DIARY FOR FEBRUARY.

1. Tues. Intermediate Examinations.
3. Thurs. Examination for admission. Candidates for call to pay fees.
4. Frid. Examination for call.
6. SUN. 5th Sunday after Epiphany.
7. Mond. Hilary term begins. Secretary of Law Society to make out list of Bar entitled to vote for Benchers.
9. Wed. Last day for setting down rehearing in Chancery.
10. Thurs. Queen Victoria married, 1840.
11. Frid. Lord Sydenham, Governor-General, 1840. Paper Day, Q.B.
12. Sat. Paper Day, C.P.
13. SUN. Septuagesima S.
14. Mond. Paper Day, Q.B.
15. Tues. Paper Day, C.P. Last day to move against Municipal Elections.
17. Thurs. Rehearing term in Chancery begins.
19. Sat. Hilary term ends. Last notice for notice for call.
20. SUN. Sexagesima S. Tithes abolished in U. C., 1823
27. SUN. Quinquagesima S.
29. Tues. Shrove Tuesday.

CONTENTS.

EDITORIALS:	PAGE
Revised Statutes of Ontario.....	29
Patent Law.....	29
Photographs or Evidence.....	29
Constructive Notice in Equity.....	29
Liability of Municipal Corporations for acts of its Officials.....	30
Service of Papers.....	30
Unanimity of Juries.....	30
Abolition of Grand Juries.....	30
Disclosures to Crown Counsel as Evidence.....	31
Prolixity in Pleading.....	31
Consolidation of the Statutes and form of the Statute book.....	33
Report of Commissioners.....	37
Law Society Resume.....	39
Acts of the present Ontario Legislature.....	40
SELECTIONS:	
Grand Juries and the pleas of Criminals.....	42
The Late Sir George Essex Honyman.....	48
CANADA REPORTS:	
COMMON LAW CHAMBERS:	
Metropolitan Building and Savings Society v. Rodden.....	50
Meehan v. Walsh.....	51
DIVISION COURT:	
Bennet v. Vickers.....	51
QUEBEC REPORTS:	
Notes of recent Decisions.....	53
CORRESPONDENCE:	
Barristers and Attorneys by Act of Parliament.....	54
REGULÆ GENERALES.....	55
BOOKS RECEIVED.....	55
LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA.....	56

THE
Canada Law Journal.
Toronto, February, 1876.

THE first part of the Revised Statutes of Ontario has been issued by the Commissioners. It is called, "Rough draft to be distributed for the purpose of receiving suggestions before the final review of the work by the Statute Commissioners and its submission to the Legislature." We refer to this subject at length in another place.

IN *Woodruff v. Mosely*, 19 L. C. Jur. 169: Mr. Justice Sanborn, delivering the opinion of the Court of Queen's Bench, held that the mere importer of an invention, which has been patented for many years in the United States by another person, is not entitled to a patent therefor under the Dominion Patent Act of 1869.

THE Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, in *Udderzooks's case*, has lately held that in a trial for murder a photographic likeness is admissible in evidence for the purpose of identifying the person photographed, and this without any further proof of its being a correct resemblance, as is required in the case of paintings. The Court said that they could not refuse to take judicial cognizance of the process as a proper means of producing correct likenesses.

THE English Master of the Rolls (Sir George Jessel) not unfrequently says shrewd things on the bench, which it is well "to make a note of." For instance, in *Jones v. Bygott*, 23 W. R. 944, he artistically exposes one of the absurdities that equity delights to honor, in this pleasant way: "The doctrine as regards constructive notice, by reason of the knowledge of an agent or solicitor, has