

The Sumas Reel
cruised and
to
American Pros
land-New C
at Y

VANCOUVER, Mar
conver Council me
contract for the K
Arm
Turney, and the f
to R. Balfour, for
and Lillooet roads
at 24 cents per lin
per thousand feet,
per thousand feet
contract for the K
to Howe Sound, h
an unknown p
burning rags and
Ong Chong's lam
flames and put on
gained headway, a
in the vicin
Reva, V. L. Lac
ordained priests y
A neat thief s
the Oriental bot
to a second hand
ture.

New Westmin
crops are nearly a
vicinity of Chilliw
spring wheat is a
looking splendidly
expected.

Mr. Hooper, Ch
Chilliwack, via th
Methodist Missio
Webb, on which
School buildings
Chosen is a fine
for the purpose
fixed the location
will set to work
and have them re
ders for their cre
The buildings w
girls school, cha
whole lot of
\$30,000, making
complete in the
pupils, and the
and the school
acres or more at
held at present,
small.

John Rankin, to, on Saturday,
Montland, Lana
and was 64 years
death. He came
and the three su
umbra, where he
was married on
Miss Minnie So
left for the Es
His body will b
and interred in
the Foresters, c
member.

Ralph Bart
Johnny Burton,
urday. He was
and was about 2
to the province
He was a man
reputation, sta
backward inspe
He was highl
of acquaintance
Prairie settleme
and useful man
buried in the
advancement of
days he mined
mining and too
which has since
was a warm pe
Robson.

NANAIMO, M
was seriously i
fall of rock. I
Two men w
early on Sund
admittance.
door and then
another. A m
then searched
Mr. Lewis w
time. So far
Richard Co
in his bed, at
ing of the
A. H. Davi
the erection
toria Creek
Briek block
at the corner
The trial o
the Union B
successful.

A rumor
the past few
employment
is expected
Vancouver C

VANCOUVER
Co. received
has taken ov
There was
between the
and the Cit
between the
amended, h
sk. A by-l
ple at an
The Van
Lighting Co
city for \$33
thirty days
Sealing
over the a
is regarded
pued from
Government
were prepa
last night
Minister o
Sam Green
place at a
Pacific bon
chasing th
proportion
city if rec
The take
free librar

ECZEMA ON A LADY.

Stubborn Case of Skin Disease
covered her face and body.
Many Doctors Baffled.
Marvellous and Complete Cure by
Cuticura. 8 years have Elaps-
ed and no Return.

A lady customer of ours (Miss Fanny Atwood, of Caroline Depot, N. Y.) has been cured of a stubborn case of skin disease by the use of CUTICURA REMEDY. She remarked that her case had baffled the skill of many well known physicians. They unanimously pronounced her case incurable. She had been afflicted with Eczema, with Rheumatism lurking in the blood. Some predicted that any treatment would surely increase the Rheumatism. She used two sets of the CUTICURA REMEDY. The effect was marvellous. Her case of Eczema was not only completely cured, but her Rheumatism was greatly relieved during the treatment. Six years have elapsed since the cure was effected, and she has remained perfectly well. She has never increased by the treatment, but rather diminished, and she has no symptoms of the return of the Eczema which once so completely possessed her face and body. Miss Atwood delightedly writes: "I feel that CUTICURA treatment had upon her, and recommends unhesitatingly the CUTICURA REMEDY to all who are afflicted with skin diseases. Your preparations and ready sale indeed have been a blessing to me, and I am indebted to you for my freedom from this terrible affliction."

HASKIN & TODD, Druggists, Ithaca, N. Y.

Cuticura Resolvent

The new Blood and Skin Purifier and greatest Humour Remedies, internal to cleanse the blood of all impurities, and thus remove the cause of skin diseases. CUTICURA SOAP, an exquisite Skin Beautifier, externally, to cleanse the skin and remove itching, itching, burning, scaly, and pimply discharges of the skin, scalp and blood.

Sold everywhere. Price, CUTICURA, 75c. per box. RESOLVENT, 50c. Prepared by the POTTER DRUG AND CHEMICAL CORPORATION, Boston.

Send for "How to Cure Skin Diseases," 64 pages, 50 illustrations, and 100 testimonials.

PIMPLES, black-heads, red, rough, chapped, and oily skin cured by CUTICURA SOAP.

I CAN'T BREATHE.

Chest Pains, Soreness, Weakness, Coughing, Asthma, Pleurisy, and all the troubles of the lungs, relieved in five minutes by the CUTICURA Antiseptic. Nothing like it for Weak Lungs.

Children always Enjoy It.

SCOTT'S EMULSION

of pure Cod Liver Oil with Hypophosphates of Lime and Soda is almost as palatable as milk.

It is indeed, and the little child and the invalid can take it easily, may be fortified against a cough that might prove serious, by taking Scott's Emulsion during the winter season.

Be aware of substitutions and imitations. SCOTT & BOWNE, New York.

Dick's Blood Purifier for Horses and Cattle.

will remove all signs of fever, and consequently prevent any disease that may come from taking a purgative.

It is a most valuable medicine, and will be found infinitely superior to any other medicine now used, as it loosens the bowels, and causes the animal to cast his hair, and is also a powerful purgative.

DICK'S BLOOD PURIFIER, for horses, ringbones, &c. &c.

DICK'S OINTMENT, for sore eyes, &c. &c. &c.

DICK'S LINIMENT, for swelling, bruises, sprains, &c. &c. &c.

DICK & CO., P. O. Box 42, MONTREAL, QUEBEC.

INGERSOLL ROCK DRILL CO., OF CANADA, LTD., MONTREAL.

ROCK DRILLS

Air Compressors.

General Mining and Quarrying Machinery, and all kinds of Drills and Duplicate parts always on hand.

WM. GORDON, Agent for B. C. OFFICE: GALTIN BLOCK, P. O. Box 187, VANCOUVER.

0-18-92 w-4 d-2 w-1

THE SOWER HAS NO SECOND CHANCE.

Best seed for the money.

FERRY'S SEEDS

Have made and kept Ferry's Seed Business the largest in the world.

Ferry's Seed Agency for 1892.

Call the "Don't Sow Seed" story—Send free for the asking. Don't sow seeds till you get this.

D.M. FERRY & CO., Windsor, Ont.

1818-18-92

INVERTAVISH NURSERY.

G. A. McTAVISH, Proprietor.

IF YOU WANT Seeds, Plants, Shrubs, Trees

Or any other Garden Requisites, send for my Catalogue.

ave the LARGEST and MOST COMPLETE ESTABLISHMENT on the Pacific Coast.

HEALTHY PLANTS. FRESH SEEDS. FINE TREES.

Everything of the Best. Remember the Address, G. A. McTAVISH, 18-92 Invertavish Nursery, Victoria, B. C.

company's operation, ten years was a moderate period to allow it to get its system fairly in operation. It would not be taken up about once when it was taken up every year awaiting development. The country would therefore obtain a taxation revenue at least, and no encouragement under this management will be given to holding the lands for speculative purposes. The scheme now proposed by the Government was the best anti-Chinese movement that could be instituted, and would have the effect of having gentlemen opposite were always professedly so anxious to accomplish. In conclusion he went into details in order to show the relative and comparative value of the fisheries in this and other provinces.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued the debate. He deprecated the action of the leader of the Opposition, and his deputy, the senior member for Vancouver (Mr. Cotton) in bringing in such an amendment as they had, as it was nothing more or less than a covert attempt to kill the bill. Instead of one of these gentlemen moving the adjournment of the debate, so as to show their bona fides, they had to go to work and get up a resolution that would defeat the very object they professed to be endeavoring to serve, and in the form of an amendment to the bill. They were trying to give the Government a sugar-coated pill (laughter). But their little scheme would not work. He did not suppose the deputy leader of the Opposition knew that the full purpose and meaning of the resolution was. He was not old enough or experienced enough a parliamentarian for that (laughter), because if he (Mr. Cotton) had known anything at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Mr. Cotton claimed the protection of the House. He was not, he said, the deputy leader of the Opposition, but was the senior member for Vancouver. (Prolonged laughter.)

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Mr. Cotton claimed the protection of the House. He was not, he said, the deputy leader of the Opposition, but was the senior member for Vancouver. (Prolonged laughter.)

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE continued that he thought the hon. member who had just interjected would feel very greatly flattered at the title he had been given. So far as the real facts were concerned, he said, at all he must have known that to carry the amendment would be to defeat the bill and the Government.

time the proposed railway entered the province till it reached the strait it would open up about one-half of British Columbia which at present was absolutely beyond general reach. That country contained not only magnificent mineral land but fine agricultural regions as well. The hon. gentleman hoped that when this bill was passed the incorporators of the two big railway companies would see their way clear to amalgamate and thus form one strong company.

Hon. Mr. BEAVER called the attention of the House to the fact that the Canada Western company's time would expire on the 8th of April next.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

Hon. Mr. DAVIE said that he had reason to believe that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time. He said that the parties were in a position to come before the House very shortly with good grounds for an extension of time.

in this respect could not be questioned. He could not understand or wish to promote the action of the Opposition should ask that the matter be allowed to drop. A gross charge of corruption had been made, and it was now the duty of the House to see that the parties who had made the charge should either substantiate it or make amends. It mattered little, he said, whether these parties were called upon to appear before the bar of the House or before a court of law, but at any rate, they must prove what they had said, or else must apologize.

Hon. Mr. BEAVER claimed that clause 76 of the Constitution Act, which the Attorney-General had claimed gave the powers to the House of protecting its members, etc., was not a correct transcript of the original clause (No. 44) in the act which had been in force prior to Confederation. He argued that the fact that certain changes had been made in it caused the provisions of it to become entirely void.

Mr. KERR advocated the policy of letting the matter drop. The House had been declared, made ridiculous by depending upon advice given by the Attorney-General. He said that the House had been declared, made ridiculous by depending upon advice given by the Attorney-General.

Mr. MARTIN expressed his surprise at the peculiar change that was apparent in the action of the hon. member for Nanaimo (Mr. Keith). When the matter had on a previous occasion been up before the House, he (Mr. Keith) had been one of the most outspoken in condemning the article as a libel on the House, and now he was saying that the matter should be allowed to drop. Could anything be more ridiculous?

He had the publishers of the article in mind, and he thought that the House should look into the matter. He said that the House should look into the matter, and he thought that the House should look into the matter.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

Mr. BEAVER said that he had voted for the original motion and the amendment to the motion when the matter was up before the House on March 22, but by the false position of the House, he had been made to look ridiculous, and he did not propose to allow himself to be similarly situated again.

great deal further and proposed to the Ontario Legislature powers not possessed by the Dominion House of Commons which might greatly exceed those of the British House. He referred to a case similar in almost every detail to the one at present before the House, which was reported in 40 L. J. P. C. 117, under the title "The Speaker of the Legislature of Victoria (Australia) v. The Attorney-General (Victoria)." This was a case in which the Speaker of the Legislature of Victoria had, for a libelous article, summoned the publisher of a newspaper to appear and the Speaker issued a warrant for his arrest and on the prisoner being brought before the House, due punishment was inflicted the sentence being imprisonment. When the sentence was being carried out, however, the prisoner's counsel applied for his release under a writ of habeas corpus and the Chief Justice of Victoria directed that the Speaker of the Legislature had not the power to order imprisonment upon a conviction by the House.

Mr. KERR moved the adjournment of the House until tomorrow at 12:30 o'clock. The House adjourned at 12:30 o'clock.

THIRTY-EIGHTH DAY. WEDNESDAY, March 30, 1892. The Speaker took the chair at 2 o'clock.

Mr. KITCHEN asked the Provincial Secretary "Do the Government intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. PITCHER asked the Provincial Secretary "Have the Government decided to refuse to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. SWORN asked the Provincial Secretary "When will the Government be prepared to say whether or not they intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. KITCHEN asked the Provincial Secretary "Do the Government intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. PITCHER asked the Provincial Secretary "Have the Government decided to refuse to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. SWORN asked the Provincial Secretary "When will the Government be prepared to say whether or not they intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. KITCHEN asked the Provincial Secretary "Do the Government intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. PITCHER asked the Provincial Secretary "Have the Government decided to refuse to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. SWORN asked the Provincial Secretary "When will the Government be prepared to say whether or not they intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. KITCHEN asked the Provincial Secretary "Do the Government intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. PITCHER asked the Provincial Secretary "Have the Government decided to refuse to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. SWORN asked the Provincial Secretary "When will the Government be prepared to say whether or not they intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. KITCHEN asked the Provincial Secretary "Do the Government intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. PITCHER asked the Provincial Secretary "Have the Government decided to refuse to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. SWORN asked the Provincial Secretary "When will the Government be prepared to say whether or not they intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. KITCHEN asked the Provincial Secretary "Do the Government intend to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."

Mr. PITCHER asked the Provincial Secretary "Have the Government decided to refuse to proclaim the Fraser River Dyking Act, 1891?" "I am not in a position to answer this at present."